

BAPTISM IN THE NEW TESTAMENT

CONTENTS

<u>Introduction</u>	page 2
A. Baptism: A New Beginning	page 2
B. The Importance of Baptism	page 2
I. <u>Before the Descent of the Spirit</u>	page 6
A. The Baptism Administered by John	page 6
B. The Baptism Administered to Jesus	page 8
C. The Baptism Administered by the Disciples of Jesus	page 11
D. The Baptism Sayings of Jesus	page 12
II. <u>After the Descent of the Spirit: Baptism in the Growing Church</u>	page 13
A. At Pentecost	page 14
B. Saul, Lydia, Others	page 15
C. At Ephesus	page 18
D. At Samaria	page 20
E. The Gentiles	page 24
F. An Ethiopian	page 26
G. The Command of Jesus	page 27
III. <u>After the Descent of the Spirit: Baptism in the Established Church</u>	page 31
A. Baptism as Death & Resurrection with Christ	page 32
B. Baptism as Union with Christ & Union with Fellow Christians	page 35
C. Baptism as Spiritual Circumcision	page 41
D. Baptism as Salvation from Suffering through the Resurrection	page 42
<u>Addendum A: The Twelve or the One Hundred Twenty?</u>	page 48
<u>Addendum B: Baptism & the Gift of the Holy Spirit</u>	page 49
<u>Addendum C: Baptism & Faith</u>	page 50
<u>Addendum D: Laying on of Hands in the New Testament</u>	page 51
<u>Addendum E: The World / The Body of Christ</u>	page 52
Death / Life	
<u>Bibliography</u>	page 53

INTRODUCTION

A. BAPTISM: A NEW BEGINNING

The biblical teaching on baptism is not monolithic. New Testament authors describe Christian baptism with various images. It is death and resurrection (Romans 6:3-4). It is donning the Messiah (Galatians 3:26-27). Baptism is circumcision of the sinful nature (Colossians 2:11-12). It is rebirth and renewal, bringing forgiveness of sins and the gift of the Holy Spirit (Titus 3:4-6; Acts 2:38). It is an appeal to God for a clear conscience (1 Peter 3:21). These images are vivid and powerful. They are exciting. They depict God’s effort to rescue a perishing world. They also portray baptism as something which effects a new beginning. God intervenes by sending his *monogenes* (“only-begotten”) son as a remedy for the desperate human situation. God establishes a new order of things, a new creation through him (2 Corinthians 5:17). God conquers death and brings life. He discards the old and brings in the new. He clothes his people with the righteousness of Jesus and empowers them with the strength of his Spirit. He effects a new beginning through Jesus his son, and he uses baptism as an important means to accomplish this. This work of God via baptism in effecting new beginnings will be the theme of this study.

B. THE IMPORTANCE OF BAPTISM

Jesus affirmed to rabbi Nicodemus the change needed for entrance into God’s kingdom. “Unless a man is born again (or, from above, *another*), he cannot see the kingdom of God” (John 3:3). This change takes place in Christian baptism. Jesus defined this change as a birth “of water and the Spirit” (v. 5). Baptism is truly a new birth; it is spiritual transformation; it is the occasion for the working of God’s Spirit, and so baptism is one birth with two aspects, water and Spirit.¹ Together water and Spirit are agents of the heavenly birth (cf. John 7:38f.; 4:14). Furthermore, this change does not take place because of a person’s own righteousness; it is solely accomplished by God’s mercy.

He saved us, not because of righteous things we had done, but because of his mercy. He saved us through the washing of rebirth and renewal by the Holy Spirit, whom he poured out on us generously through Jesus Christ our Savior, so that, having been justified by his grace, we might become heirs having the hope of eternal life (Titus 3:5-7; cf. Ezekiel 36:25-27).

¹For the different interpretations of “water” in John 3:5, see C. K. Barrett, *The Gospel according to St. John*, 2nd ed. (Philadelphia, PA: Westminster, 1978), 209.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

Here “baptism is qualified as a cleansing bath, but then as a cleansing that is to be understood in the context of the saving, eschatological activity of God (for example, ‘the appearing’ of his mercy).”² Beasley-Murray observes,

God saved us ‘not by reason of works . . . but in accordance with his mercy’ (v. 5). A two fold contrast is here drawn between deeds that earn and faith that receives, and the power of God that mercifully achieves what man cannot do for himself. This, we observe, is related to baptism; the emphasis on the powerful operation by the Spirit in the ‘washing’ underscores this very fact that God does for us what we are powerless to perform—he makes us anew and gives us new life. . . . No statement of the New Testament more unambiguously represents the power of baptism to lie in the operation of the Holy Spirit.³

What is worked in this “washing of rebirth” is worked by God’s mercy. The main actor is God, and man passively receives God’s gift of renewal, the Holy Spirit. But man receives in faith and he receives via God’s appointed means of baptism.

The washing with water of baptism represents the new birth as the transition from the old mode of existence dominated and qualified by sin to that which derives its character from the Spirit as the eschatological gift of salvation. . . . Baptism is the means in God’s hand, the place where he speaks and acts. . . . It is God who gives baptism its power, on the faith of the one baptized.⁴

Yet this work of God and his Spirit in baptism does not eliminate the need for the physical element of water. The text itself suggests that God does not work his saving mercy among mankind without formal means. God uses physical means to effect spiritual consequences. Note the important phrase “through the washing of rebirth” (*dia loutrou palingenesias*; compare Ephesians 5:26; Hebrews 10:22; the majority text of Revelation 1:5; Acts 22:16; and 1 Corinthians 6:11). *Washing* “may mean *the water used for washing, or the process itself of washing.*”⁵ *Rebirth* “defines the nature of the ‘washing’ which God employs as his instrument in effecting the salvation of man; not any ‘washing’

²Herman Ridderbos, *Paul: An Outline of His Theology*, trans. J. R. De Witt (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1975), 398.

³G. R. Beasley-Murray, *Baptism in the New Testament* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1962), 214-215.

⁴Ridderbos, *Paul*, 398, 411.

⁵Newport J. D. White, “The Epistle To Titus,” *The Expositor’s Greek Testament*, ed. W. R. Nicoll (rpt.; Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1983), Vol. IV, 199.

whatever, but that of the new birth.”⁶ This washing in water is the outer dynamic of Christian baptism, but in this washing the inner dynamic of the Spirit’s renewal clearly predominates (cf. 1 Corinthians 12:13; John 3:5-8). The Spirit works his change upon the sinner “through the water, and with the water, and not without the water.”⁷ The two aspects are closely intertwined. It is by these two dynamics, water and Spirit, one outer (corresponding to man’s body) and one inner (corresponding to man’s spirit), that God transforms sinful man.

Some believers, however, disagree with this connection between baptism and salvation. “How can something physical like water baptism produce spiritual results like salvation?” they ask. The objection seems to come from a philosophical basis that denies the full reality of the physical.

Of course the Bible itself never presents a physical element or act as in itself being the source or origin of a spiritual effect. See John 3:6; 6:63. Only God, who is spirit, can bestow such blessings. But the inability of flesh to produce spiritual effects is not due to some kind of inherent antithesis between matter and spirit. The inability is due simply to the inherent impotence of anything less than God himself to effect the kind of spiritual changes which are in view. Though God alone is the source of all spiritual blessings, material elements or acts are regarded as proper and appropriate means of bestowing these blessings.

For the first 1500 years of Christian history, very few questioned the propriety of the use of a physical element as a necessary part of ceremonies which were essentially spiritual in their result. Zwingli, however, denied both the causal and the chronological connection between the material and the spiritual. John 6:63 was his proof-text, but Platonic dualism was the philosophical basis of his objection. Thus, beginning with Zwingli in the 1520's, a large element of Christendom has continued to deny any necessary causal or chronological connection between physical acts [and spiritual results]. The sacramental elements are limited to symbolical and psychological significance. This is the basic principle of sacramental theology in all Reformed groups, and in all groups which have adopted the Reformed approach to the sacraments (e.g., most Baptist and most Wesleyan groups).⁸

Because of this Platonic dichotomy between the physical and the spiritual, many modern believers neglect the due import of Christian baptism. Some see baptism as wholly unnecessary. For example, many recent and otherwise excellent works on evangelism completely fail to mention

⁶Ibid.

⁷J. Beckmann, cited by Beasley-Murray, *Baptism*, 302.

⁸Jack Cottrell, “Doctrine Of Baptism: Course Outline” (Cincinnati, OH: Cincinnati Christian Seminary, n.d.), 3-4. See also Donald M. Baillie, *The Theology of the Sacraments* (New York, NY: Charles Scribner’s Sons, 1957), 47ff.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

Christian baptism. Most of these opt for the “praying-through” method of salvation.⁹ Others recognize the need for baptism but place it in a subordinate or secondary role as regards salvation. One writes, “Although water baptism is always closely related to the time of forgiveness in the New Testament, it is not a requirement or a work necessary for salvation.”¹⁰ This attitude toward baptism is common. But believers neglect Christian baptism to their own spiritual jeopardy. The lack of any tangible means of God’s grace as regards salvation leaves many believers questioning their status with God. It leaves them with “deep dissatisfaction” in not knowing “the specific day on which they crossed over the line to be on the Lord’s side.”¹¹ What is needed to remedy this lack of assurance is a healthy appreciation of the apostle Peter’s assertion, “. . . baptism now saves you” (1 Peter 3:21). With the proclamation and obedience of such a concrete act like Christian baptism, believers can experience the assurance that God desires to grant them. With baptism as their starting point, believers can go forward with confidence. They can know that the foundation of their spiritual journey is of the Lord’s doing. They will have no need to trust their own subjective reasons.

⁹See Joseph C. Aldrich, *Life-Style Evangelism* (Portland, OR: Multnomah, 1981), 234; Rebecca Manley Pippert, *Out of the Saltshaker & into the World* (Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity, 1979), 147.

¹⁰Elmer L. Towns, *The Complete Book of Church Growth* (Wheaton, IL: Tyndale, 1981), 264.

¹¹Will Metzger, *Tell the Truth* (Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity, 1981), 63.

I. BEFORE THE DESCENT OF THE SPIRIT

Arguments for various Jewish or pagan antecedents of Christian baptism have not proven conclusive. While a discussion of the Mosaic lustrations, circumcision, pagan initiation rites, Jewish proselyte baptism, and the Essene baptisms might prove useful,¹² such would not change the essential design of Christian baptism in the New Testament. Christian baptism is qualitatively different from all of the above, because Christian baptism is baptism “in the name of Jesus Christ.”¹³ Whatever particulars it might possess in common with other contemporary practices, be it Jewish or pagan, Christian baptism has the distinction of being the only “initiation rite” in the name of a historical figure. In baptism, the “initiate” experiences intimate identification with decisive historical events, the death and resurrection of Jesus of Nazareth (see Romans, chapter 6). So radical is this identification in baptism that the “initiate” is stamped, as it were, with the name of Jesus. He now wears the name “Christian,” and he shares his new life with brothers and sisters in an intimate, family-like community of fellow-believers who are also called “Christians.” Nothing exactly like this is found in Jewish practice. Nor do pagan religions provide an exact parallel.¹⁴ Christian baptism is unique.¹⁵ So the New Testament must be allowed to speak for itself concerning the design of Christian baptism.

A. THE BAPTISM ADMINISTERED BY JOHN [Matthew 3:6, 7, 11; 21:25; Mark 1:4, 5, 8; 11:30; Luke 3:3, 7, 12, 16; 7:29, 30; 20:4; John 1:25, 26, 28, 31, 33; 3:22, 23, 26; 10:40; Acts 1:5, 22; 10:37; 11:16 (?); 13:24; 18:25; 19:3]

One valid antecedent of Christian baptism found in the New Testament, however, is John’s baptism. That John’s baptism represented a decisive break with sectarian (for example, Pharasaic and Sadducean) order and tradition seems obvious from the combined testimony of Matthew, Mark, and Luke. John came on the scene suddenly, and his work was detached both geographically and sacramentally from the Jerusalem temple cult. He came “baptizing in the desert region and

¹²For example, see Beasley-Murray, *Baptism*, 1-31.

¹³See Acts 2:38; 8:16; 10:48; 19:5; compare Acts 18:12; 22:16; contrast 1 Corinthians 1:13, 15.

¹⁴See Ronald H. Nash, *Christianity and the Hellenistic World* (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1984), 149-158. Compare J. Gresham Machen, *The Origin of Paul’s Religion* (1925; rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1978), 255-290.

¹⁵The particulars are set forth nicely by Wayne A. Meeks, *The First Urban Christians: The Social World of the Apostle Paul* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1983), 150-157. For a survey of the historical development of baptism as initiation, see Cheslyn Johns, Geoffrey Wainwright, Edward Yarnold, eds., *The Study of Liturgy* (New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 1978), 79-146.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

preaching a baptism of repentance for the forgiveness of sins” (Mark 1:4). Like the prophets before him, John preached a cutting away of the old and a bringing in of the new (see Matthew 3:9-10). The gospel of Mark significantly identifies this coming of John as “the beginning of the gospel about Jesus Christ,” and both Matthew and Luke begin their narratives about Jesus with the work of John (after telling the birth stories). Luke also notes the beginning of John’s ministry with the customary chronological data. The gospels depict the work of John as a very important starting point. The book of Acts does the same. When selecting a replacement for Judas, Peter limits possible replacements to those “who have been with us the whole time the Lord Jesus went in and out among us, beginning from John’s baptism” (Acts 1:21-22; cf. 10:37; 13:24). This emphasis on John’s work as an important starting point is highly significant, for it is precisely the baptism of John that provided the embryonic nucleus of the Messianic community.¹⁶ “John came baptizing,” and he introduced something new.

In response to his preaching John called for an action which was wholly novel—baptism in the Jordan River. It has been conjectured that John's baptism was derived from the Jewish practice of baptizing proselytes, or from the rites of initiation practiced at Qumran. No clear line of dependence can be shown in support of these theories. Baptism appears rather as a unique activity of the prophet, a prophetic sign so striking that John became known simply as ‘the Baptizer’.¹⁷

His baptism was quite different from ceremonial cleansings and proselyte baptisms. John’s baptism was administered by John himself, or perhaps by his disciples;¹⁸ it was not performed by the recipient himself. John’s baptism was performed only once; it was not repeated. John’s baptism initiated covenant relationship with God; it was not administered merely to retain the purity of that covenant

¹⁶“John’s baptism is a preparation for the messianic baptism; it is the initiatory rite which brings together the messianic people.” K. W. Noakes, *The Study of Liturgy*, 81.

¹⁷William L. Lane, *The Gospel according to Mark* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1974), 49. Compare W. S. LaSor’s unconvincing denial of the newness of John’s work, “Discovering What Jewish Miqva’ot Can Tell Us About Christian Baptism,” *Biblical Archaeology Review* XIII, no. 1 (January-February 1987), 58-59.

¹⁸Clearly Apollos, or someone closely associated with him, administered the baptism of John to twelve men at Ephesus (Acts 18:25; 19:3), so perhaps an earlier precedent for this practice existed as was the case with the disciples of Jesus (see John 3:22; 4:1-2).

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

relationship. John’s baptism was “for the forgiveness of sins” (Mark 1:4; Luke 3:3).¹⁹ Note that his baptism was “repentance baptism” or “turning baptism” (cf. Acts 13:24; 19:4). It was a call for Israel to flee “the coming wrath” by turning to their Lord, specifically their Messiah Lord.²⁰ Rather than “an outward symbol of the inward change,” John’s baptism effectually completed the recipient’s repentance or turning unto God. Those who were baptized by John “acknowledged that God’s way was right.” But those who refused John’s baptism “rejected God’s purpose for themselves” (Luke 7:29-30). This text in Luke clearly distinguishes between “all the people and the tax collectors” and “the Pharisees and the lawyers” on the basis of John’s baptism. Note the typical antecedent use of the aorist participle (that is, “having been baptized . . . not having been baptized”). The former group acknowledged God; they were God’s people; they had experienced a change via the baptism of John. The latter group rejected God; they were not God’s people; they had not experienced this change through John’s baptism.²¹ John’s baptism clearly marked a decisive break with the past and a new beginning in the lives of those individuals receiving it.

B. THE BAPTISM ADMINISTERED TO JESUS [Matthew 3:13ff.; Mark 1:9; Luke 3:21; John 1:29ff.]

The baptism of Jesus also marked a new beginning. The synoptic gospels record Jesus’ baptism with extreme brevity and simplicity. No explanation seems necessary; yet the terseness of the records makes the interpretation all the more difficult. Note the importance of the event. “Jesus came from Galilee to the Jordan” (Matthew 3:13; Mark specifies “Nazareth of Galilee,” 1:9). If John was baptizing in the wilderness region of Judea near the Dead Sea,²² then Jesus came a distance of

¹⁹Compare Matthew 26:28; Luke 24:47; and Acts 2:38. See also Herwart Vorlander, “Forgiveness,” *The New International Dictionary of New Testament Theology*, gen. ed. Colin Brown (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1975), Vol. 1, 697-703.

²⁰“What is meant [by *metanoeo*, “repent”] is . . . a fundamental turnaround involving mind and action and including overtones of grief, which results in ‘fruit in keeping with repentance.’” D. A. Carson, “Matthew,” *The Expositor’s Bible Commentary*, gen. ed. Frank E. Gaebelein (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1984), Vol. 8, 99.

²¹See Luke 20:1ff. and the parallels in Matthew 21:23ff.; Mark 11:27ff.

²²Jack Finegan, *The Archeology of the New Testament* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1969), 8-10.

over fifty miles. Jesus came this lengthy distance for the express purpose “to be baptized by him.”²³ Note John’s reluctance. With prophetic insight he confesses, “I need to be baptized by you, and you come to me?” (Matthew 3:14). The reluctance is based upon Jesus’ sinlessness. John administered “a baptism of repentance for the forgiveness of sins.” But Jesus needed no forgiveness of sins, because he had no sin. This coming of Jesus for baptism perplexed and puzzled John.²⁴ Note also Jesus’ response. Jesus was not surprised at John’s reaction, nor does he rebuke John for feeling the way he does. Calmly, with conviction, Jesus reassures John, “Let it be so now.”²⁵ He confidently shares with John the reason, “It is proper for us to do this to fulfill all righteousness” (Matthew 3:15). Jesus comes to John with an intimate understanding of the Father’s will, a knowledge that leads him to the waters of the Jordan river to be baptized by John “to fulfill all righteousness” (*plerosai pasan dikaiosunen*). What does it mean “to fulfill all righteousness?” Different interpretations have been offered, for example “to fulfill God’s purpose,” “to identify himself with sinners,” or “to leave nothing undone that had been revealed in the righteous will of God.”²⁶ Perhaps the best explanation lies in Matthew’s use of the word “righteousness” (*dikaiousune*). John came to Israel “in the way of righteousness” (Matthew 21:32). His message and his baptism were “from heaven” and not “from men” (Matthew 21:25). He came preaching and baptizing to prepare a remnant for the coming kingdom of God, a remnant seeking first the kingdom of God and his righteousness (Matthew 6:33). He came also to prepare the way for the righteous king himself. As Malachi and Isaiah foretold,

²³The infinite of purpose is here used by Matthew, *tou baptisthenai hup’ autou*. See Ernest De Witt Burton, *Syntax of the Moods and Tenses in New Testament Greek* (1900; rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Kregel, 1976), 146; and F. Blass and A. Debrunner, *A Greek Grammar of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature*, trans. Robert W. Funk (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1961), 197.

²⁴Compare a fragment from the Gospel of the Hebrews preserved by Jerome (*Against Pelagius* iii.2): “Behold the mother of the Lord and his brethren said to him, John the Baptist baptizes for the remission of sins; Let us go and be baptized by him. But he said to them, What sin have I committed that I should go and be baptized by him?” Cited in Alfred Plummer, *An Exegetical Commentary on the Gospel according to St. Matthew* (rpt., Minneapolis, MN: James Family Christian Publishers, n.d.), 31.

²⁵This is a mild imperative, *aphes arti*, by which Jesus extends an invitation to John to allow him to be baptized. See Blass and Debrunner, *Greek Grammar*, 183-184.

²⁶See Jack P. Lewis, *The Gospel according to Matthew: Part I* (Austin, TX: Sweet, 1976), 65.

I will send my messenger ahead of you,
who will prepare your way—
a voice of one calling in the desert,
'Prepare the way for the Lord,
make straight paths for him' (Mark 1:2-3).

John came, and Jesus came to John to usher in the righteousness of God in all its fulness (for example, in his own person as Messiah; cf. Colossians 2:9).²⁷ It is not unimportant that the only place where Jesus and John ever meet is the Jordan river. In this lies the greatest significance of the baptism of Jesus. John prepared for the coming Messiah king. John revealed to Israel the Messiah king. Then John relinquished to the Messiah king. Compare the crude illustration of a relay race. The first man runs, he passes the baton, and then he stops running. The baptism of Jesus, so to speak, is the passing of the baton. John himself confesses, "I myself did not know him, but the reason I came baptizing with water was that he might be revealed to Israel" (John 1:31).²⁸ Before the baptism, John did not recognize Jesus (as Messiah, as Son of God). After the baptism, John could confess, "I have seen and I testify that this is the Son of God" (verse 34). God planned that Jesus should be revealed to John in the act of baptism when the Holy Spirit descended from heaven in dove-like form (verse 33). In addition to being God's sign of confirmation and approval to John, the descending Spirit resting upon²⁹ Jesus at baptism marked the beginning of the "already but not yet" new dispensation. Barrett aptly remarks, "Jesus has the Spirit in order that he may confer it; and it is the gift of the Spirit that pre-eminently distinguishes the new dispensation from the old; it

²⁷Compare Oscar Cullmann's interpretation, "At the moment of his baptism he receives the commission to undertake the role of the suffering servant of God, who takes on himself the sins of his people. Other Jews come to Jordan to be baptized by John for their *own* sins. Jesus, on the contrary . . . is baptised for [the sins] of the whole people. . . . This means that Jesus is baptised in view of his death, which effects forgiveness of sins for all men. For this reason Jesus must unite himself in solidarity with his whole people, and go down himself to Jordan, that 'all righteousness might be fulfilled.' . . . The baptism of Jesus is related to *dikaiosune*, not only his own but also that of the whole people." *Baptism in the New Testament*, trans. J. K. S. Reid (Philadelphia, PA: Westminster, 1950), 18.

²⁸"Like John himself, his baptism has no independent significance; both exist in order to bear witness to Christ (v. 7), who alone truly takes away sin and confers the Spirit as well." Barrett, *Gospel according to St. John*, 177. Notice the forceful *all' hina . . . dia touto*.

²⁹*Emeinen ep' auton*, e.g., "the Spirit abides permanently upon Jesus; the baptism was not a passing moment of inspiration." *Ibid.*, 178.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

belongs neither to Judaism nor even to John.”³⁰ Jesus had the Spirit, but he had not yet given the Spirit to his followers.³¹ That would come later. John therefore could say of Jesus, “He *will baptize* you with the Holy Spirit.”³² In anticipation of that time, John could now tell his disciples, “Look, the Lamb of God, who takes away the sin of the world!” (verses 29, 36). But John must now decrease so that Jesus might increase.³³ At the baptism of Jesus a new beginning had taken place. The Spirit of the Lord had come upon Jesus (cf. Luke 4:1, 18); God’s righteous Messiah king had come.³⁴

C. THE BAPTISM ADMINISTERED BY THE DISCIPLES OF JESUS [John 3:22, 23; 3:26; 4:1, 2]

Another possible antecedent of Christian baptism in the New Testament is the baptism administered by the disciples of Jesus. The New Testament unfortunately preserves very little information about this baptism. The narrative in the gospel of John simply states, “Jesus and his disciples went out into the Judean countryside, where he spent some time with them, and baptized” (3:22; cf. 4:1-2). Given the strong comparison with John’s baptism,³⁵ this baptism evidently paralleled John’s baptism in design. Only the administrators were different. This is the point of difference that engendered an argument (“who” rather than “what”), and the point of difference noticed by the Pharisees.³⁶ The whole incident serves to demonstrate the growing popularity of Jesus and the gradual decrease of John’s ministry. John came to testify to the superiority of Jesus. The fact that Jesus increasingly baptized more shows that John did his work well.

³⁰Ibid.

³¹See John 7:39; 14:16f.; 20:22.

³²The consistent use of the future tense, *autos baptisei humas en pneumati hagio* (Mark 1:8; see Matthew 3:11; Luke 3:16; and Acts 1:5), is very important to the “already but not yet” schema.

³³John 3:30. Compare the testimony of the synoptic gospels to the imprisonment of John by Herod Antipas shortly after the baptism of Jesus in Matthew 4:12; Mark 1:14; and Luke 3:19-20.

³⁴“The baptism of the Lord inaugurated his ministry; the descent of the Spirit which closely followed his baptism meant that the new covenant had been entered upon, a new epoch begun.” K. W. Noakes, *The Study of Liturgy*, 81.

³⁵“John *also* was baptizing,” *en de kai ho Ioannes baptidzon*, John 3:23. Given the context, verse 26 could be paraphrased, “he is baptizing *too*,” *ide houtos baptidzei*.

³⁶John 4:1 makes clear the difference that captured the Pharisees attention. It was not the baptizing itself; rather it was *hoti Iesous pleionas mathetas poiei kai baptidzei e Ioannes* (“that Jesus made and baptized more disciples than John,” *King James Version*).

D. THE BAPTISM SAYINGS OF JESUS [Mark 10:38, 39; Luke 12:50]

Two baptism sayings of Jesus deserve brief treatment at this point.³⁷ Both sayings refer to Jesus’ suffering and death. Both speak of a future baptism to be experienced by Jesus. In Luke 12:50, Jesus says, “I have a baptism to be baptized with; and how I am constrained until it is accomplished!” (*Revised Standard Version*). In Mark 10:38, he asks his disciples, “Are you able to drink the cup that I drink, or to be baptized with the baptism with which I am baptized?” (*Revised Standard Version*). The “cup” as a metaphor for suffering occurs often in biblical literature (i.e., Psalm 11:6; 75:8; Jeremiah 25:15, 17, 28), but what does Jesus mean when he calls his death a baptism? Plummer remarks, “Regarding troubles as a flood in which one is plunged is also common in [biblical] literature.”³⁸ But the “trouble” of Jesus ran very deep. He came to pour out his life unto death, to be numbered with the transgressors, to bear the sin of many (Isaiah 53:12). He had no sin, but God made him “sin for us” (*hamartian huper hemon*, 2 Corinthians 5:21). Jesus could not give a more potent description of this great burden than “baptism.” It was a baptism of death. With John’s baptism, Jesus had offered himself to the Father to fulfill all righteousness; now he would complete that task. He had come by water, now he would come by blood (1 John 5:6). The baptism of water, that inaugurated his ministry, anticipated the baptism of death, that crowned his ministry.³⁹ This baptism of death furthermore was a baptism unto resurrection and glorification. It marked a new stage in the ministry of Jesus and in his relationship with both the Father and his followers—a very important new beginning. For this reason, Jesus would not avoid this baptism of death; he would do the Father’s will!

³⁷Two other sayings, Matthew 28:19 and Mark 16:16, will be treated later.

³⁸Alfred Plummer, *The Gospel according to St. Mark* (1914; rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 1982), 247. For example, Psalm 69:1-2 vividly reads, “Save me, O God, for the waters have come up to my neck. I sink in the miry depths, where there is no foothold. I have come into the deep waters; the floods engulf me.” See also Psalm 124:3-4. Noteworthy is the reading *he anomia me baptidzei* (“lawlessness overwhelms me”) in the Septuagint at Isaiah 21:4. Note Isaiah’s extreme agitation because of the vision (vv. 3-4), even though the destruction of Babylon is something he has longed for. Compare the similar attitude of Jesus to his *cup*, especially in the garden of Gethsemane.

³⁹See F. F. Bruce, *The Hard Sayings of Jesus* (Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity, 1983), 128.

II. AFTER THE DESCENT OF THE SPIRIT: BAPTISM IN THE GROWING CHURCH [Acts 2:38, 41; 8:12, 13, 16, 36, 38; 9:18; 10:37, 47, 48; 11:16; 13:24; 16:15, 33; 18:8, 25; 19:3, 4, 5; 22:16]

An important change takes place in the meaning of baptism after the descent of the Holy Spirit. This does not mean to suggest a lack of continuity between John’s baptism, the baptism of Jesus, and Christian baptism, but it is to say that another new beginning has occurred.

This new baptismal gift of the Holy Spirit is imparted neither by Jewish proselyte baptism nor Johannine baptism. It is bound up with the person and the work of Christ. . . . The outpouring of the Holy Spirit ‘on all flesh’ (Acts 2:17) presupposes the resurrection of Christ and follows on Pentecost.⁴⁰

Of this Pentecostal descent of God’s Spirit, Peter affirms, “This is what was spoken by the prophet Joel” (Acts 2:16). Later he refers back to this time as “at the beginning” (*en arche*, Acts 11:15). God’s “last days” had been ushered in. Jesus had kept his promise. The Holy Spirit had come.⁴¹ The kingdom of God had come with power from on high. But the “extraordinary” means used by God on this occasion for the sending and the reception of the Spirit are not permanent. “Ordinary” immersion in water becomes God’s means of bestowing forgiveness of sins and the gift of the Holy Spirit.

Christian baptism is only possible after the church is constituted as the locus of the Holy Spirit. . . . What happened in a collective manner at Pentecost is in future to take place for each individual in the sacrament of the transmission of the Spirit. . . . Pentecost represents the decisive turning point. . . . The church is constituted here as the locus of the Holy Spirit, as the body of Christ crucified and risen. Thus the baptismal death of Christ completed once for all on the cross passes over into church baptism.⁴²

⁴⁰Cullmann, *Baptism*, 10.

⁴¹The connection between this outpouring of the Spirit and the Spirit-baptism promised by Jesus is unmistakable. See Frederick Dale Bruner, *A Theology of the Holy Spirit* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1970), 155-165. It seems plausible that this would be the point at which the 120 disciples received the Spirit and that in a special, extraordinary way like the Samaritans and the Gentiles. For this reason, the 120 did not need Christian baptism; they already had the Spirit from their Pentecostal experience, and they already had forgiveness of sins from John’s baptism. See Acts 1:15 and Addendum A at the end of the paper.

⁴²Cullmann, *Baptism*, 10, 22.

A. AT PENTECOST

Peter’s message on Pentecost provoked a response from the hearers. Peter answered their question “What shall we do?” with, “Repent, and be baptized every one of you in the name of Jesus Christ for the forgiveness of your sins, and you shall receive the gift of the Holy Spirit” (*Revised Standard Version*, Acts 2:38). Peter did not tell his inquirers “to await the Holy Spirit in a second Pentecost event with wind, fire, and tongues.”⁴³ Peter offers his audience Christian baptism. Note the strong imperatives, “You repent, and let each one of you be immersed.”⁴⁴ The appeal of the apostle is urgent. “With many other words he warned them; and he pleaded with them, ‘Save yourselves from this corrupt generation’” (Acts 2:40). “Waiting” would be totally inappropriate. As Bruner remarks, “The apostolic wait in Jerusalem applied only to that unusual period in the apostles’ career between the ascension of Jesus and his gift of the Spirit to the church at Pentecost.”⁴⁵ Waiting was no longer necessary, because the Spirit had already been given.⁴⁶ What was necessary was the receiving of God’s gift through the humble rite of baptism. The connection between verse 40 and verse 41 must not be overlooked. The emphasis in Peter’s exhortation is not “save yourselves” as most of the English translations seem to imply. The emphasis is rather “*save yourselves*.” The Greek text simply reads *sothete*, “be saved.”⁴⁷ “Peter is urging them to submit to God’s way of salvation which he has just explained.”⁴⁸ Those who accepted Peter’s message to “be saved” were baptized (*ebaptisthesan*), that is, they were saved. No longer were these souls part of

⁴³Bruner, *Theology of the Holy Spirit*, 168.

⁴⁴The Greek phrase has two aorist imperatives, *metanoesate kai baptistheto*.

⁴⁵Bruner, *Theology of the Holy Spirit*, 168.

⁴⁶Luke’s favorite word in Acts 2:17, 18, 33 is *ekcheo* (“pour out”) taken from the Septuagint, Joel 3:1, 2.

⁴⁷“With *sothete* cf. *sothesetai* [‘you will be saved’], quoted from Joel in verse 21. In both places the word applies to a ‘remnant’ (cf. Joel ii.32) which would be delivered from the judgment destined to overtake the mass of the people.” F. F. Bruce, *The Acts of the Apostles* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1951), 99. Note too that the verb is passive, and this emphasizes God’s initiative rather than man’s.

⁴⁸Gareth L. Reese, *New Testament History: A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Book of Acts* (Joplin, MO: College Press, 1976), 80.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

a “corrupt generation.” They accepted God’s means of salvation, and God added them to the number of his people.⁴⁹

Luke explains that the Lord himself added these believers to the number of his people. He had granted to them “forgiveness of sins” and “the gift of the Holy Spirit.” Such had been accomplished through “baptism in the name of Jesus Christ.” Bruner rightly remarks,

The baptism in the name of Jesus Christ, according to Luke’s account, includes both the forgiveness of sins *and* the reception of the gift of the Holy Spirit—together. This single two-fold benefit corresponds exactly to the Old Testament promise of the coordinate forgiveness of sins and gift of the Spirit (Jeremiah 31:31-34; Ezekiel 36:24-27). The baptism is, in the careful formulation, “for the forgiveness of your sins, *and* you shall receive the gift of the Holy Spirit.”⁵⁰

“Forgiveness of sins” indicates the release that comes with the canceling of man’s debt to God. Man’s failure to keep God’s righteous law incurred a debt, namely, “the certificate of debt consisting of decrees against us which was hostile to us” (*New American Standard Bible*, Colossians 2:14). Man was unable to keep the former law; the flesh was too weak by itself without the Spirit. God sent his son Jesus to “condemn sin in the flesh,” to render satisfaction to the just demands of God’s law, and to “cancel out the certificate of debt” by granting to mankind forgiveness of sins (see Colossians 2:13ff.; Romans 8:1ff.). Furthermore, God sent his Spirit to enable men and women to put to death the deeds of the body and to live (Romans 8:13)! This “gift of the Holy Spirit” indicates the new power that comes with the renewing of man’s life to God. Taking away the old (“the law of sin and death”), God establishes the new (“the law of the Spirit of life in Christ Jesus”). In the words of Paul in 2 Corinthians 5:17, “The old has gone; the new has come,” and the time of this release and renewal is Christian baptism.

B. SAUL, LYDIA, OTHERS

Another indication of the saving power of Christian baptism comes from the immediacy of the administration of this ordinance by the first proclaimers of good news. Christian preachers like Peter attached immediate importance to the rite of baptism. Ananias of Damascus told Saul of

⁴⁹In verse 41 those who were baptized were added (*prosetethesan*). In verse 47 the Lord added (*prosetithei*) those who were being saved. The connection between baptism, salvation, and the Lord’s work of adding to the body of believers is plain.

⁵⁰Bruner, *Theology of the Holy Spirit*, 167.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

Tarsus, “Get up, be baptized and wash your sins away, calling on his name” (Acts 22:16; cf. 9:18).⁵¹ This devout believer had just told the persecutor God’s plan for his life (Acts 22:14-15). Then he exhorted him to be baptized by asking, “What are you waiting for?”⁵² The cause for immediacy in baptism is obvious. Saul must first experience forgiveness himself before he can witness to others. He must first wash away his own sins and call upon the name of the Lord via baptism. Then he can witness to others concerning salvation through Jesus the Messiah. The turning point of Saul’s career from persecutor to Christian preacher comes precisely at the time of his baptism (see Acts 9:17ff.).

Some argue that Paul’s conversion occurred at the time of the heavenly vision. But the time of the inception of faith must not be confused with the concrete expression of that faith in baptism. Just as planting a seed does not guarantee a new plant, so the germ of faith within any human heart does not guarantee new spiritual life. Both must await the death of the outer shell, and for the believer this death occurs when that old man is crucified and the new man is resurrected with Jesus in baptism. However, the distinction between faith and baptism must not be drawn too sharply. On the one hand, baptism without faith (for example, an *ex opere operato* initiatory ceremony) is unthinkable. On the other hand, faith without baptism (except for those waiting the opportunity of baptism, such as Paul) is equally unthinkable.

In the church of the NT, faith and baptism belong together, like soul and body in biblical thought: the one cannot exist without the other. To regard sincere faith as adequate to salvation apart from baptismal incorporation into Christ’s body is sheer ‘Christian Science’ by the standards of NT theology; by ignoring the reality of the body it makes salvation a subjective affair, a disembodied soul-salvation of individuals who have ‘enjoyed’ a certain ‘experience’. The profession of faith without the bodily action of submission in baptism is not the obedience of the whole man; a mental act which has no outward embodiment is a mere phantom of the full-blooded, full-bodied wholeness of biblical thinking. Believing while dispensing with the act of obedience, with the act of baptism, is a kind of docetism, and is thus

⁵¹“The imperatives [*baptisai kai apolousai*] are in the middle voice: ‘get yourself baptized and get your sins washed away.’” F. F. Bruce, *Acts*, 403.

⁵²“Paul is to get up, act straightaway, and submit to baptism.” I. Howard Marshall, *The Acts of the Apostles*, Tyndale New Testament Commentaries, gen. ed. R. V. G. Tasker (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1980), 357.

not belief in the NT sense at all. The action—or, rather, the passion—of being baptized, is itself part of the act of believing, since to believe means to obey.⁵³

Paul’s faith in Jesus as Lord probably had its beginning at the time of the heavenly vision. But the completion of his faith in obedience to his Lord did not occur until he was baptized by Ananias.⁵⁴ When the importance of baptism is rightly understood as the completing or making whole of one’s faith, then the exhortation of Ananias to Paul (“get up now and do it!”) is understood easily.

Similarly, Lydia of Thyatira, a Roman jailer of Philippi, and Crispus of Corinth received baptism soon after hearing and believing the message of Jesus. Crispus heard Paul, believed, and was baptized (Acts 18:8). Possibly he listened to Paul’s message for some time before making his commitment (see v. 11), but the language of verse 8 suggests that once Crispus and others believed, their baptism followed immediately. Lydia also accepted Paul’s message and received baptism (Acts 16:13-15). Like Crispus, she confirmed her faith in Jesus in the act of baptism. She saw her response to Paul’s message as “being faithful to the Lord” (*pisten to kurio einai*; v. 15). Of course, some time could have elapsed between the teaching and Lydia’s baptism (“we stayed there several days,” v. 12; cf. v. 18), but the narrative appears to recount events of one day, that is “on the sabbath” (v. 13). Regardless of the exact time sequence, her confirmation of faith in baptism occurred *soon* after her hearing of the message. There was no delay of months or years. In like fashion the Roman jailer was baptized “immediately” or “at once” (*parachrema*) after Paul and Silas spoke the word of the Lord to him (“the same hour of the night,” Acts 16:33). Marshall even conjectures that the jailer and his family were baptized “in the prison itself.”⁵⁵ This immediacy with regard to baptism indicates the seriousness of the matter to these early Christian preachers. Delay in proclamation of the word and the obedience of baptism was out of the question. The jailer, like Lydia and Crispus, needed salvation (Acts 16:30-31), so salvation was offered and accepted via baptism. Afterwards,

⁵³Alan Richardson, *An Introduction to the Theology of the New Testament* (London, England: SCM, 1958), 347-348. But Beasley-Murray critiques, “The view of Richardson, that faith without baptism is ‘Christian Science’ or ‘docetism’, is an unhappy exaggeration,” *Baptism*, 303. Compare Addendum C on baptism and faith at the end the paper.

⁵⁴Ridderbos observes, “Baptism and faith are both means to the appropriation of the content of the gospel. However, while faith according to its nature is an act of man, baptism according to its nature is an activity of God and on the part of God. That which the believer appropriates to himself on the proclamation of the gospel God promises and bestows on him in baptism. One can therefore speak of a sequential order only in part. For although baptism presupposes faith, the place of faith is not only prior to baptism, but in and after baptism as well. Baptism, however, according to its essence is once for all, because it marks the transition from the mode of existence of the old man to that of the new. . . . For this reason faith is not without baptism, just as baptism is not without faith.” *Paul*, 412.

⁵⁵Marshall, *Acts*, 274.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

the jailer’s family rejoiced. They “had believed in God” (*pepisteukos to theo*, Acts 16:34). Notice the perfect tense of the verb. The perfect tense generally expresses a “punctiliar [or past, DF] event in the past, related in its effects to the present.”⁵⁶ The past event referred to by the verb *pepisteukos* (“had believed”) seems to be the family’s baptism. Baptism confirmed their faith in Jesus as Lord, just like an ancient seal would complete and ratify an ancient document.⁵⁷ The man and his family had trusted in God’s promise to save them by accepting God’s mercy in baptism. In consequence of this, God granted to them joy and new life. For them it was a new beginning.

C. AT EPHESUS

For twelve disciples of John at Ephesus, baptism became a source of joy and new life *in the Lord Jesus and in the Holy Spirit* (Acts 19:1-7). These disciples apparently had been taught by Apollos. Like Apollos they “knew only the baptism of John” (Acts 18:25). They had received limited instruction in “the way of God,” and therefore they suffered from limited benefits. “Apollos taught the things of Jesus accurately, but he did not yet know how to apply or end his teaching by offering baptism into the name of Jesus Christ. . . . [Consequently] Apollos’ converts were inadequately initiated.”⁵⁸ By accepting John’s baptism, these disciples had accepted a life of faith towards God. But they needed something more, and Paul sensed this deficiency. He asked them, “Did you receive the Holy Spirit when you believed?” (v. 2).

Some scholars would translate, ‘Did you receive the Holy Spirit *after* [*since* in KJV] you believed?’ with the implication that reception of the Spirit is a gift subsequent to belief in Jesus. This is . . . undoubtedly a wrong understanding of the phrase here in context; it . . . goes against the constant NT association of the Spirit with conversion.⁵⁹

⁵⁶C. F. D. Moule, *An Idiom Book of New Testament Greek*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, 1959), 13.

⁵⁷See S. S. Smalley, “Seal,” *The New Bible Dictionary*, ed. J. D. Douglas (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1962), 1155-1156, and the bibliography on baptism and the Spirit as a seal. Compare also Ridderbos, *Paul*, 399-400. See too 2 Corinthians 1:22; Ephesians 1:13; 4:30; Revelation 7:2ff.; 9:4; and 1 John 2:20, 27.

⁵⁸Bruner, *Theology of the Holy Spirit*, 206.

⁵⁹Marshall, *Acts*, 306.

The aorist participle “having believed” (*pisteusantes*) denotes an action corresponding in time to the action of the main verb “you received” (*elabete*).⁶⁰ The members of the group should have received the Spirit at the time of their conversion (for example, when belief was confirmed at baptism).⁶¹ In other words, one cannot become a true believer in Jesus without receiving the Holy Spirit,⁶² and the means of receiving the Spirit is baptism “into the name of the Lord Jesus.” The text holds no promise for the two-stage initiation theory of water baptism followed by Spirit baptism.

Baptism then, and not baptism plus a subsequent confirmation or ‘baptism with the Spirit’ is what marks a man as a Christian. It is the unrepeatably sacrament of Christian beginnings. . . . Baptism is *the* mark of Christian belonging, the badge which all God’s people have in common whatever their differences. The NT knows nothing of believers in Jesus who do not get baptised. Neither does it know anything of Christians who get themselves rebaptised. For baptism is the sacramental expression of Christian initiation.⁶³

This is why Paul immediately questioned them about their baptism (“what baptism did you receive,” *eis ti oun ebaptisthete*, v. 3; cf. Hebrews 6:2, “instruction about baptisms,” *baptismon didaches*). “As they knew John’s baptism, they might have been expected to know John’s teaching, that his baptism of repentance prepared the way for the coming of One who should baptize *en pneumati hagio* [“in the Holy Spirit”], but this, apparently, they did not know.”⁶⁴ “Men unsure of the gospel and not possessing the Spirit need Christian baptism, whatever has gone before; Paul ensured that they received it.”⁶⁵ After further instruction as to the preparatory function of John’s baptism, these

⁶⁰See Burton, *Moods and Tenses*, 64.

⁶¹Notice the parallel role of John’s baptism and Christian baptism in the confirmation of belief. However, the key difference lies in the *object* of that belief.

⁶²“These men can hardly have been Christians since they had not received the gift of the Spirit; it is safe to say that the NT does not recognize the possibility of being a Christian apart from possession of the Spirit (John 3:5; Acts 11:17; Romans 8:9; 1 Corinthians 12:3; Galatians 3:2; 1 Thessalonians 1:5f.; Titus 3:5; Hebrews 6:4; 1 Peter 1:2; 1 John 3:24; 4:13).” Marshall, *Acts*, 305. Compare Michael Green, *I Believe in the Holy Spirit* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1975), 134-136.

⁶³Green, *I Believe in the Holy Spirit*, 128, 132.

⁶⁴Bruce, *Acts*, 354.

⁶⁵Beasley-Murray, *Baptism*, 112.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

disciples “were baptized into the name of the Lord Jesus” (v. 5).⁶⁶ Formerly disciples of John, they now become disciples of the Lord Jesus. Formerly ignorant of the Holy Spirit, they now become empowered with God’s holy gift and speak in tongues and prophesy (v. 6).⁶⁷ So Christian baptism secures a new beginning for these people. In this *new* baptism (not rebaptism!), they acknowledge Jesus as Lord and Savior, and they receive the Holy Spirit. It is these two foundational experiences of Jesus as Lord and the Holy Spirit as helper that make baptism so essential for any believer.

D. AT SAMARIA

The baptism of Samaritans and Gentiles likewise meant new life in Jesus for these groups, but these baptisms also marked an explosive and exciting new period for the corporate life of God’s church. God wanted to make an undisputable point when he decided to extend the boundaries of his church from Jews to Samaritans to Gentiles, and he made his point through special workings of his Spirit. “Who has understood the Spirit of the Lord, or instructed him as his counselor?” (Isaiah 40:13). The events at Samaria (Acts 8:4-25) and Caesarea (Acts 10:1-48) are not typical! Maybe this is why Luke records these events, because they are different and very important to the story of the history of the early church!

⁶⁶On the usages and meaning of *onoma* in relation to Jesus, see Hans Bietenhard, “*Onoma*, etc.,” *Theological Dictionary of the New Testament*, eds. G. Kittel and G. Friedrich (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1967), Vol. V, 271ff. See also Grant Osborne, *The Resurrection Narratives: A Redactional Study* (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 1984), 93-94.

⁶⁷Paul’s “laying on of hands” could simply be the administration of baptism itself or a symbolic gesture subsequent to baptism indicating the impartation of the Holy Spirit. “This does not mean, however, that the moment of the laying on of hands was thought of as the moment of the imparting of the Holy Spirit. Still less does it mean that the apostolic church considered that baptism in water was not baptism in Holy Spirit at all, and that it was the ceremony of the laying on of hands which was thought of as baptism in Holy Spirit. In the NT the whole baptismal action is a unity which cannot be analysed into its component parts, and it is in the whole action that the Spirit is bestowed.” Richardson, *Introduction to the Theology of the New Testament*, 355. On the variety of blessings associated with “laying on of hands” in the New Testament, see Addendum D at the end of the paper. Compare also Noakes’ comments, *The Study of Liturgy*, 87.

The story in the eighth chapter of Acts “records the reception of the gospel by the Samaritans, a people whom the Jews hated and regarded as heretical.”⁶⁸ Green rightly notes, “However you choose to interpret chapter 8, it leaves you with problems.”⁶⁹ The persecution led by Saul scattered the Christians “throughout Judea and Samaria” (v. 1). Missionary activity increased because of greater adversity! Philip, one of the seven servants of the church (Acts 6:1-7), traveled to the region of Samaria and preached Christ there.⁷⁰ The message brought about a good response, with signs, exorcisms, healings, and great joy (vv. 6-8). Even the sorcery of Simon, that had held the people spellbound for some time, could not stop the progress of the gospel. The power of the “good news of the kingdom of God and the name of Jesus Christ” was greater than the “great power” of Simon (see v. 10). Simon had amazed the people and boasted of his greatness, but the Samaritans had never seen anything like the signs performed by Philip. This demonstration of power gave credibility to Philip’s message about Jesus,⁷¹ and consequently many of the Samaritans, both men and women,⁷² believed and were baptized (v. 12). Surprisingly, “Simon himself believed and was baptized” (v. 13).⁷³ So far so good in the interpretation of Acts, chapter 8. But the problem comes when Luke informs us that Peter and John came from Jerusalem to Samaria to pray for these believers that “they

⁶⁸Marshall, *Acts*, 152. On the Samaritans, see George W. E. Nickelsburg and Michael E. Stone, *Faith and Piety in Early Judaism* (Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1983), 13-19; T. H. Gaster, “Samaritans,” *The Interpreter’s Dictionary of the Bible*, ed. G. A. Buttrick (Nashville, TN: Abingdon, 1962), Vol. 4, 190-197; and James D. Purvis, “The Samaritans and Judaism,” *Early Judaism and Its Modern Interpreters*, eds. R. A. Kraft and G. W. E. Nickelsburg (Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1986), 81-98.

⁶⁹Green, *I Believe in the Holy Spirit*, 136.

⁷⁰“The *RSV* has *a city of Samaria*. The oldest manuscripts have ‘*the city of Samaria*’ which *Good News Bible* paraphrases as ‘the principle city of Samaria’. The possible towns are Sebaste (Herod the Great’s new name for OT Samaria), Shechem, or possibly Gitta, the birthplace of Simon.” Marshall, *Acts*, 154.

⁷¹On the evidential nature of miracles, see Bernard Ramm, *Protestant Christian Evidences* (Chicago, IL: Moody, 1953), 125-145. For a critical discussion of the philosophical problems involved, see Colin Brown, *Miracles and the Critical Mind* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1984).

⁷²For Luke’s inclusion of the *gunaikes* (“women”) among the believers, see Acts 1:14; 2:17; 5:14; 8:3; 9:2; 16:1, 13; 17:4, 12, 34; 18:2; 21:5; 22:4. In light of rabbinic statements like, “The daughters of the Samaritans are menstruants [e.g., ceremonially unclean] from their cradle” (*Niddah* 4.1, cited by Barrett, *Gospel according to St. John*, 232), Luke’s mention of the women in Samaria is all the more significant.

⁷³There is no reason to doubt the sincerity of Simon's faith. See Reese’s treatment, *New Testament History: Acts*, 322.

might receive the Holy Spirit, because the Holy Spirit had not yet come upon any of them; they had simply been baptized into the name of the Lord Jesus” (vv. 15-16). The perplexing question is, “If the Holy Spirit is given at baptism, why the delay in the case of the Samaritans?” One solution questions the sincerity of the faith of the Samaritans. Under this view some deficiency in their faith delayed the coming of the Spirit.⁷⁴ However,

It should be noticed first that the remedy for the absence of the Holy Spirit was not sought or found in any disposition or action of the Samaritans. . . . The Samaritans are asked no questions and they are placed under no commands. The problem lies not with the Samaritans. . . . The discovery in Acts 8:14-17 of insufficient commitment on the part of any parties or a finding of the imperfect fulfilling of any conditions must be imported into the text.⁷⁵

The faith of the Samaritans was not insufficient. They did believe Philip’s message, and they were baptized into the name of the Lord Jesus. Their faith found fulfillment in commitment and obedience. Of faith they had no lack, but they did lack *the Holy Spirit*. Another solution sees “the laying on of the apostles’ hands”⁷⁶ as the key to this delay of the Spirit. While the Samaritans received the “ordinary” gift of the Spirit at their baptism, they waited to receive the “extraordinary” or “miraculous” gifts of the Spirit at the laying on of the apostles’ hands. Rogers suggests that in this passage,

The Holy Spirit is expressly named four times when the ‘gifts’ are meant. These people had heard the gospel; they had believed the gospel; they had obeyed the gospel. They had, therefore, received the Holy Spirit. Yet Peter and John came down from Jerusalem that the Samaritans might receive the Holy Spirit. The key to the whole section is verse 18: ‘Now when Simon *saw* that through the laying on of the apostles’ hands the Holy Spirit was given . . .’ This is a metonymy. The Spirit is stated when the gifts are meant.⁷⁷

But this sort of interpretation is clearly misguided. It assumes the Samaritans had received the Holy Spirit as a gift when the text plainly states otherwise. Furthermore, “it is difficult to believe that St. Luke can mean to limit the expression *lambanein* [“to receive”] here to anything less than a bestowal

⁷⁴So J. D. G. Dunn, *Baptism in the Holy Spirit* (London, England: SCM, 1970), 65. But note Marshall’s critique, *Acts*, 156.

⁷⁵Bruner, *Theology of the Holy Spirit*, 174.

⁷⁶See vv. 17, 18, 19; cf. 6:6; 9:12, 17; 13:3; 19:6; contrast 4:3; 5:18; 21:27.

⁷⁷Richard Rogers, *The Holy Spirit of God* (Lubbock, TX: World Mission Publishing, 1968), 29.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

of that divine indwelling of the spirit which makes the Christian the temple of God.”⁷⁸ That is the problem, and the observation that Simon “saw” that the gift of the Spirit accompanied the apostles’ ministrations does not lessen the problem. Marshall correctly observes that,

The story presupposes that it can be known whether or not a person has received the Spirit. This would be the case if charismatic gifts were involved; cf. how 10:46 gives the proof for 10:45. But there is no proof that charismatic gifts were manifest every time, and other less spectacular indications, such as a sense of joy, may have been regarded as adequate evidence of the presence of the Spirit (13:52; 16:34; 1 Thessalonians 1:6).⁷⁹

So the Samaritans did not receive the Holy Spirit at their baptism, and the question remains, “Why was the Spirit withheld?” The best explanation is that “God withheld the Spirit until the coming of Peter and John in order that the Samaritans might be seen to be fully incorporated into the community of Jerusalem Christians who had received the Spirit at Pentecost.”⁸⁰ This unusual working of the Spirit was God’s way of telling the Jewish believers, “You accept the Samaritan believers, just as I have accepted you” (cf. 10:47; 11:17). Through this special outpouring of his Spirit, God was mending that ancient split between Jew and Samaritan (see John 4:9; cf. 8:48).

If the Holy Spirit had been given immediately upon profession of faith and baptism by the Samaritans, this ancient schism would have continued, and there would have been two churches, out of fellowship with each other. . . . God did not give his Holy Spirit to the Samaritans at once: not until representatives from Jerusalem came down and expressed their solidarity with the converts by praying for them and laying their hands on them. Then they received the Holy Spirit . . . it was not so much an authorisation from Jerusalem or an extension of the Jerusalem church, as a divine veto on schism in the infant church, a schism which could have slipped almost unnoticed into the Christian fellowship, as converts from the two sides of the ‘Samaritan curtain’ found Christ without finding each other. That would have been a denial of the one baptism and all it stood for. It was for this reason that

⁷⁸R. J. Knowling, “The Acts of the Apostles,” *The Expositor’s Greek Testament*, Vol. II, 216.

⁷⁹Marshall, *Acts*, 158.

⁸⁰*Ibid.*, 157. Compare G. W. H. Lampe, *The Seal of the Spirit* (London, England: Longmans, 1951), 70-72. But see Green’s critique of Lampe’s view, *I Believe in the Holy Spirit*, 137. Green, though, seems to wrongly argue an either/or case between Lampe’s view and his own.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

God made delay on this occasion. Acts 8 is recorded precisely to show the abnormality of a baptism which does not lead to reception of the Holy Spirit.⁸¹

The Samaritan’s reception of the Spirit *after* baptism is a special case, and it would be foolish to make a special case the general pattern for the working of the Spirit. But the incident does represent another new beginning associated with baptism. “Samaria was the church’s first decisive step out of and beyond Judaism.”⁸² As such it is the beginning of God’s reaching out through the apostles “to the ends of the earth” (Acts 1:8).

E. THE GENTILES

A similar movement of the Spirit involved the Gentiles. But unlike the Samaritans, Cornelius, his relatives, and his close friends received the Holy Spirit *before* baptism (Acts 10:44-48)! By this wondrous proof of the “falling” (*epepesen*, v. 44) of God’s Spirit upon the Gentiles, “Peter and his Jewish brethren with him saw that, uncircumcised though they were, Cornelius and his household were no longer common or unclean.”⁸³ Chase appropriately calls this episode “the Pentecost of the Gentile world.”⁸⁴ “Through a striking divine intervention by which the Gentiles were inaugurated into the church just as the Jews had been (‘just as we have,’ 10:47; cf. 11:15), a certainty was provided that Gentiles stood on no less equal footing in the church than did the Jews.”⁸⁵ The Holy Spirit took the initiative on this occasion. He did so in order to break down the old “dividing wall of hostility” (Ephesians 2:14).⁸⁶ But this special working of God’s Spirit did not render water baptism useless or unnecessary. In fact, it made water baptism all the more important. Peter replied, “Can anyone keep these people from being baptized with water? They have received the Holy Spirit just as we have” (v. 47). Convinced of God’s acceptance of the Gentiles, the apostle

⁸¹Green, *I Believe in the Holy Spirit*, 138-139. Compare Richard N. Longenecker, “The Acts of the Apostles,” *Expositor’s Bible Commentary*, gen. ed. F. E. Gaebelin (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1981), Vol. 9, 359-360.

⁸²Bruner, *Theology of the Holy Spirit*, 175.

⁸³Knowling, “Acts,” *Expositor’s Greek Testament*, Vol. II, 262.

⁸⁴See Bruce, *Acts*, 227.

⁸⁵Bruner, *Theology of the Holy Spirit*, 191.

⁸⁶On Jewish/Gentile tensions in the early church, see John E. Stambaugh and David L. Balch, *The New Testament in Its Social Environment* (Philadelphia, PA: Westminster, 1986), 46ff.; Rudolph Bultmann, *Primitive Christianity*, trans. R. H. Fuller (Cleveland, OH: World, 1956), 175-179; and T. R. Glover, *The Conflict of Religions in the Early Roman Empire* (1909; rpt., Washington, DC: Canon, 1974), 167-195.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

“ordered that they be baptized in the name of Jesus Christ” (v. 48). Why did Peter immediately demand the Gentiles be baptized with water? It can only be because he connected water baptism “in the name of Jesus Christ” (*en to onomati Iesou Christou*) with the promised baptism “with the Holy Spirit” (*en pneumati hagio*; see 11:16). The Spirit and the water of baptism belonged together.

Baptism was not considered a superfluous rite, dispensable now because the ‘real thing’ had already occurred. The gift of the Holy Spirit without baptism was as unthinkable to the church as baptism without the gift of the Holy Spirit (8:14-17; 19:2-7). . . . The Holy Spirit and baptism, they knew, belonged together in such a way as to form the ‘one baptism’ of the church (Ephesians 4:5; cf. 1 Corinthians 12:13).⁸⁷

Peter later explained his actions to his critics in Jerusalem, “If God gave them the same gift . . . who was I to think that I could oppose God!” (11:17).⁸⁸ In other words, to not baptize the Gentiles with water would have been to oppose God. God had taken the initiative, and he had given his approval of the Gentiles by bestowing upon them the gift of his Spirit. Now it remained for these Jewish Christians to complete the Gentiles’ turn to God by baptizing them. They did this, because they understood the importance of baptism.

The Gentiles like the Samaritans received the Spirit in an unusual way. But even though the immediacy of the working of the Spirit was removed from baptism in these instances, an indication of God’s sovereignty in “cross-racial evangelism,” the strong connection between Spirit and water in conversion was preserved. Once again, however, God used baptism to lead his people to new beginnings. It was a fresh start for Cornelius and his friends, and in a real sense it was a fresh start for the faith of Peter and his Jewish brothers. The Gentiles experienced the newness of the power of God’s Spirit. The Jews experienced the newness of God’s working in the church of Christ, namely that God in his sovereign grace would “bring Gentiles directly into relationship with Jesus Christ apart from any prior relationship with Judaism.”⁸⁹ God so worked through baptism to fix a point of decisive change not only in the lives of individual believers but also in the attitudes and development of the Christian community as a whole.

⁸⁷Bruner, *Theology of the Holy Spirit*, 193-194.

⁸⁸See Cullmann, *Baptism*, 71ff., for his argument that *koluein* (“prevent” or “forbid”; Matthew 3:14; Acts 8:36; 10:47; 11:17) indicates an early baptismal formula in the New Testament. Note also Carson’s critique, “Matthew,” *Expositor’s Bible Commentary*, Vol. 8, 420-421.

⁸⁹Longenecker, “Acts,” *Expositor’s Bible Commentary*, Vol. 9, 395.

F. AN ETHIOPIAN

The case of a leading official⁹⁰ from Ethiopia provides yet another instance of the new life associated with baptism in the growing church (Acts 8:26-40). Under the guidance of the Spirit (vv. 29, 39), the Hellenist Philip received an invitation to join this man in his chariot and teach him. Beginning with Isaiah’s prophecy about “the suffering servant,” Philip “told him the good news about Jesus” (*euangelisato auto ton Iesoun*; v. 35). Evidently this message about Jesus included a message about baptism (cf. 2:22ff., 38; 8:12). When they came upon some water, the official asked, “Look, here is water. Why shouldn’t I be baptized?” (v. 36). He understood that the proper response to the message about Jesus was baptism, so “both Philip and the eunuch went down into the water and Philip baptized him” (v. 38). Note the language used to describe the eunuch’s baptism. They both “went down into the water,” and they “came up out of the water.” “The context indicates that the baptism was by immersion, and there can be no doubt that this was the custom in the early church.”⁹¹ As a beautiful portrayal of death and resurrection, baptism by immersion appropriately corresponds to the message or *kerygma* about Jesus. Furthermore, the “coming up out of the water” (that is, the believer’s resurrection to new life; see v. 39; cf. Matthew 3:16; Mark 1:10) prepares the candidate for the reception of the Spirit, God’s gift of new life. The believer’s ascending from the water also complements the picture of the Spirit as gift descending from heaven. In the case of the Ethiopian, a longer form of the text actually reads, “When they came up out of the water, the Holy Spirit fell upon the eunuch, but the angel of the Lord caught up Philip.”⁹² Whether this reading is original or not is debatable,⁹³ but the fact of the official’s reception of the Holy Spirit is not in question, because he “went on his way rejoicing.” Things were now different. The indwelling Spirit imparted joy to his heart (cf. 13:52; Galatians 5:22). He had experienced a new beginning for his life. This is because he had discovered Jesus, and he had received the Spirit of Jesus in baptism.

⁹⁰On the question of the eunuch’s relationship to Judaism, *Ibid.*, 363.

⁹¹Knowling, “Acts,” *Expositor’s Greek Testament*, Vol. II, 226. For an older treatment of the mode of baptism, see Alexander Campbell, *Christian Baptism* (rpt., Nashville, TN: McQuiddy, 1913), 85-159; contrast John Murray, *Christian Baptism* (rpt., Phillipsburg, NJ: Presbyterian & Reformed, 1980), 6-30; and G. R. Beasley-Murray, “Baptism, Wash,” *The New International Dictionary of New Testament Theology*, gen. ed. Colin Brown (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1975), Vol. 1, 143ff. On the image of sprinkling, see Ezekiel 36:25; Zechariah 13:1; and Isaiah 4:4.

⁹²Marshall’s translation, *Acts*, 165.

⁹³See the discussion in Bruce M. Metzger, *A Textual Commentary on the Greek New Testament*, 3rd ed. (Stuttgart, Germany: United Bible Society, 1971), 360-361.

G. THE COMMAND OF JESUS

By connecting water baptism with the saving work of Jesus and the reception of the Holy Spirit, the early church did not act arbitrarily. The summons to baptize came from the lips of Jesus himself. Thus the early church acted under his authority. After his resurrection and prior to his ascension, the Lord taught his disciples many lessons concerning the kingdom of God (see Acts 1:3). He taught his followers about baptism with the Holy Spirit (Acts 1:5; cf. John 20:21-23), about repentance and forgiveness of sins (Luke 24:45-49), and about faith, discipleship, baptism, and further teaching (Matthew 28:19-20; cf. Mark 16:15-16). The Lord made clear the need for proclamation of good news about his suffering, death, resurrection, and exaltation.⁹⁴ This would be the foundation of the kingdom. But the Lord also made clear the need for proclaiming a way whereby men and women might accept the saving benefits of his life and enter the kingdom. He gave them a way that was specific, decisive, and meaningful. He gave them a way which strongly identified any follower with the foundations of Christian faith.⁹⁵ He gave them baptism. He told his disciples,

All authority in heaven and on earth has been given to me. Go therefore and make disciples of all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Spirit, teaching them to observe all that I have commanded you; and lo, I am with you always, to the close of the age (*Revised Standard Version*, Matthew 28:18-20; cf. Mark 16:15-16).

Here lies the authority for Christian baptism: it came first from Jesus himself. Baptism was the gift of Jesus to his church. By giving baptism, Jesus gave his followers a way to confer the forgiveness of sins,⁹⁶ entrance into the kingdom, the gift of the Holy Spirit, and union with his person. The two participles in Jesus’ commission, “baptizing” (*baptizantes*) and “teaching” (*didaskontes*), describe

⁹⁴See Luke 24:44ff., and Osborne’s treatment, *Resurrection Narratives*, 129-136. Osborne however does not seem to place enough emphasis on the role of “suffering” in Luke’s apostolic commission. See Matthew 16:21; 17:12; Mark 8:31; 9:12; Luke 9:22; 17:25; 22:15; 24:26, 46; and Acts 1:3; 3:18; 17:3.

⁹⁵Note Cullmann’s assessment, “Most theologians today agree that the distinctive element in the baptismal act of the primitive church at first consisted in the relation of that act to the individual who now dies and rises again with Christ (Romans 6:3). On the other hand, the explanations diverge widely as soon as the attempt is made to define more closely the nature of that relation and thus to establish what it is in the baptism of an individual that effects his participation in Christ’s death and resurrection,” *Baptism*, 23. Compare Ridderbos’ discussion of what happens in baptism and his critique of different views, *Paul*, 406ff.

⁹⁶For example, see John 20:23; compare Matthew 16:19; 18:18.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

how disciples are made. Carson, though, denies any strong connection between baptism and discipleship. Rather than the *means* of disciple-making, he sees baptism and teaching as actions “characterizing” discipleship.⁹⁷ But McNeile’s comment on “baptizing them” (*baptidzontes autous*) is more to the point. “The present participle expresses a continuous activity [emphasizing the ongoing activity of the church in both baptizing and teaching, DF]; each forms part of a continuous *matheteuein* [‘disciple-making’].”⁹⁸ Perhaps it is even better to see the commission as involving a threefold task. “The apostles are to make disciples of all men, to baptize them, and to instruct them.”⁹⁹ Thus the apostolic pattern of teaching or evangelizing, baptizing, and post-baptismal teaching is preserved. But the necessary connection between the differing activities must not be obscured by the difficulty of the grammar. Just as baptism without further teaching would be inappropriate, so evangelism without baptism would be incomplete and lacking. Proclamation of good news without profession of that good news in baptism would be omitting the very necessary work of God to incorporate the sinner into the company or fellowship of the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit. Osborne indicates that,

The baptized convert becomes the possession of and therefore enters into fellowship with the Trinity in the discipling process. Jesus’ words here are thus more than just a liturgical formula; baptism is an experience which transcends any act of obedience or symbolic rite. It is the initiation of the disciple (cf. 1 Peter 3:19, 20) into the rights and obligations of his calling.¹⁰⁰

Similarly, Plummer notes that,

In the words before us our Lord was not ordering any particular form of administering baptism. . . . Our Lord may be explaining what becoming a disciple really involves: it means no less than entering into communion with, into vital relationship with, the revealed persons of the Godhead. The divine name is often a reverent symbol for the divine nature, for God himself; and therefore baptizing into the name of the Trinity may mean immersing in the infinite ocean of the divine

⁹⁷Carson, “Matthew,” *Expositor’s Bible Commentary*, Vol. 8, 597.

⁹⁸Alan Hugh McNeile, *The Gospel according to St. Matthew* (1915; rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 1980), 435-436.

⁹⁹Plummer, *Gospel according to St. Matthew*, 435.

¹⁰⁰Osborne, *Resurrection Narratives*, 93.

perfection. In Christian baptism the divine essence is the element *into* which the baptized are plunged, or *in* which they are bathed.¹⁰¹

The early Christians vigorously entered into their profession of faith and into fellowship with the trinity by gladly accepting and proclaiming salvation via baptism.¹⁰² Unlike many modern believers, they did not balk at baptism. They gave baptism its due prominence. This was not done without cause. Baptism has historical associations with the death and resurrection of Jesus; it has existential associations with the risen Lord, the Godhead, and the gathered community of saints; and it has eschatological associations with the Holy Spirit and the promised return of Christ. Only because of these crucial associations was baptism given such prominence by the early disciples. Ridderbos notes,

Baptism is the means by which communion with the death and burial of Christ comes into being (*dia tou baptismatos*, "through baptism," Romans 6:4), the place where this union is effected (*en to baptismati*, "in baptism," Colossians 2:12), the means by which Christ cleanses his church (*katharisas to loutro*, "having been washed with water," Ephesians 5:26), and [the means whereby] God has saved it (*esosen hemas dia loutrou*, "he saved us through washing," Titus 3:5). . . . All these formulations speak clearly of the significance of baptism in mediating redemption; they speak of what happens in and by baptism and not merely of what happened before baptism and of which baptism would only be the confirmation. On the other hand, it is plain that baptism as means of salvation, does not have an exclusive significance. Thus what is here attributed to baptism can elsewhere be ascribed to faith, and thus what is here represented as appropriated to believers by baptism can elsewhere be ascribed to them already in Christ's death . . . [So] baptism accomplishes in its own way what already obtained in another way, and thus occupies its own place in the whole of the divine communication of redemption.¹⁰³

¹⁰¹Plummer, *Gospel according to St. Matthew*, 433. Noakes similarly remarks, "If we look at the writings of the NT we shall find much about the significance of baptism but little liturgical detail," *The Study of Liturgy*, 80.

¹⁰²Note the strong affirmation of Mark 16:16, *ho pisteusas kai baptistheis sothesetai* ("he who believes and is baptized will be saved," *Revised Standard Version*), an early second century scribal gloss, so Metzger, *Textual Commentary*, 125. See Osborne's fine treatment, *Resurrection Narratives*, 58-65.

¹⁰³Ridderbos, *Paul*, 409-410.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

It is precisely because of these connections “in the whole of the divine communication of redemption,” connections with repentance, entry into the kingdom, forgiveness of sins, reception of the Spirit, and union with Christ, that it will not do to suppose that baptism is an optional extra or superfluous ordinance. Baptism is God’s ordinance of new life, and as such it surely depends upon God, Christ, and the Holy Spirit for its effectual working. They alone can make us new people; they alone can bring about the transformation of mind, body, spirit, and will needed by sinful man. But baptism must not be decontextualized and then regarded as unnecessary to this process of transformation. Baptism should be viewed in its immensely rich and varied context—its biblical context. When baptism is seen in this light, then baptism will be heralded and obeyed as one of God’s greatest gifts to his church. If baptism is not this important, why did Jesus command his people to make disciples by baptizing and teaching until “the very end of the age”?

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

III. AFTER THE DESCENT OF THE SPIRIT: BAPTISM IN THE ESTABLISHED CHURCH [Romans 6:3, 4; 1 Corinthians 1:13, 14, 15, 16, 17; 10:2; 12:13; 15:29; Galatians 3:27; Ephesians 4:5; Colossians 2:12; 1 Peter 3:21]

When we move from the book of Acts to the epistles, the emphasis on baptism changes. Exhortation *to be baptized* now becomes exhortation *to the baptized*. Paul and the other writers do not address a hostile, unbelieving audience. They speak to an already Christian audience, to those of whom they can say, “That is what some of you were. But you were washed, you were sanctified, you were justified in the name of the Lord Jesus Christ and by the Spirit of our God” (1 Corinthians 6:11). The emphasis on baptism changes from invitation via proclamation (for example, Peter’s “Repent, and be baptized . . .”) to exhortation via remembrance (for example, Paul’s “Don’t you know . . .”), a remembrance that recalls God’s saving act and the Spirit’s imparting of new life. Furthermore, baptism as hortatory instruction becomes a vital part of the early church’s paraenetic tradition, a tradition indispensable for Christian maturation and growth. “Paraenetic reminders are appeals to recall what happened when the addressees first became Christians, both the ritual of baptism and the instruction that accompanied it, and to behave in ways appropriate to that memory.”¹⁰⁴ This paraenetic use of baptism as “reminder” or as appealing to the “memory” should be emphasized. Baptism can function as *anamnesis* (“reminder”)¹⁰⁵ only by an appeal to an already existing consciousness of baptism. This is the amazing fact about references to baptism in the epistles. The need for and necessity of baptism is never argued! Baptism is always assumed to be part of the believer’s past experience.¹⁰⁶ The epistles address only those who have already been baptized, and this common experience of baptism becomes a powerful argument for holy and righteous living.

¹⁰⁴Meeks, *First Urban Christians*, 154. Compare Abraham J. Malherbe, *Social Aspects of Early Christianity*, 2nd ed. (Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1983), 23-24. See also Nils Alstrup Dahl, “Anamnesis: Memory and Commemoration in Early Christianity,” *Jesus in the Memory of the Early Church* (Minneapolis, MN: Augsburg, 1976), 11-29.

¹⁰⁵While the various terms for “memory” and “remembrance” are not used directly in conjunction with baptism in the New Testament (see K. H. Bartels, “Remember, Remembrance,” *The New International Dictionary of New Testament Theology*, Vol. 3, 230-247), the conceptualization is surely present (for example, Paul’s use of *agnoeite*, “don’t you know,” in Romans 6:3).

¹⁰⁶“Throughout his letters, Paul assumes that to become a Christian one is baptized; the ‘once-for-allness’ of baptism is a basic presupposition of Paul’s thought, as of all subsequent thought about baptism. Baptism is the frontier between two worlds, between two entirely different modes of life, or, rather, between death and life. Faith and baptism are inextricably linked; in their baptism believers confess Christ as Savior (Rom. 10.9).” K. W. Noakes, *The Study of Liturgy*, 82.

A. BAPTISM AS DEATH AND RESURRECTION WITH CHRIST

In his letter to Christians at Rome (chapter 6), Paul introduces the matter of baptism because of a certain antinomian misconception of grace. Paul however does not answer the “bogus logic”¹⁰⁷ echoed in verse 1 with an appeal to the law (compare verse 14, “you are not under law, but under grace”). He rather emphasizes the importance of new life in Christ, a new life brought into existence by God’s grace through baptism. In baptism the believer experiences death, burial, and resurrection with Christ. “We were baptized into his death” (*eis ton thanaton autou ebaptisthemen*, v. 3); “we were buried with him through baptism into death” (*sunetaphemen auto dia tou baptismatos eis ton thanaton*, v. 4).¹⁰⁸ In this rite of baptism “we died with Christ” (*apethanomen sun Christo*, v. 8); “our old self was crucified with him” (*ho palaios hemon anthropos sunestaurothe*, v. 6; compare Galatians 2:20). As Beasley-Murray suggests, “The death we died is the death *he* died on Golgotha. . . . The action of baptism primarily means, not that the baptistry becomes our grave, but that we are laid in the grave of Christ.”¹⁰⁹ The emphasis in baptism is that we died “with him,” and through baptism we were buried “with him.”¹¹⁰ This identification with Christ in his death, however, and the ratification and sealing of this death by burial, demands a corresponding resurrection unto new life. Paul affirms, “So that as Christ was raised from the dead by the glory of the father, we too might walk in newness of life” (*Revised Standard Version*, v. 4). Just as the believer at baptism died with Christ, at baptism the believer also is raised to a new life. But the connection between death and resurrection at baptism goes much deeper.

When the parenthetical material of [v. 4] is omitted we are left with the unambiguous statement: ‘We were buried with him through baptism . . . *that we might walk in newness of life*’. Bornkamm maintains that the *hina* introduces the divine determination and demand under which we now stand. . . . The *hina* (‘in order that’) introduces the purpose of the convert as he submits to baptism . . . He

¹⁰⁷C. E. B. Cranfield, *The Epistle to the Romans*, The International Critical Commentary, gen. eds. J. A. Emerton and C. E. B. Cranfield (rpt., Edinburgh, Scotland: T. & T. Clark, 1982), Vol. I, 297.

¹⁰⁸It seems pointless to wrangle over the exact meaning of “baptized into his death” whether the phrase indicates an ethical, sacramental, eschatological, or judicial significance. Beasley-Murray surveys three of a “veritable Babel of voices” on this question and concludes, “Each of these three views has essential truth and none is complete in isolation from the rest.” *Baptism*, 130-132.

¹⁰⁹*Ibid.*, 133.

¹¹⁰“The reason for Paul’s stating that the baptized is *buried* as dead . . . is the nature of baptism as immersion. The symbolism of immersion as representing burial is striking . . . It is the kerygma *in action* . . . But the ‘with him’ of baptism is due to the gospel. . . . Christ and his dying, Christ and his rising give the rite all its meaning.” *Ibid.* Cf. Ridderbos’ objection, *Paul*, 402.

renounces the ‘oldness’ of his earlier life and commits himself to the ‘newness of life’ opened up for him through the resurrection life of Christ.¹¹¹

Resurrection to new life is the *purpose* for the believer’s baptismal death. But the transformation that God accomplished for Jesus historically, in Christ’s own body, he accomplishes for the believer existentially and eschatologically, in the body of Christ’s church. “Descent into the water obviously did not mime Jesus’ death, but it could be construed as ‘being buried with Christ’ (Romans 6:4; Colossians 2:12), and rising from the water could very well signify ‘being raised with Christ’ (Colossians 2:12; 3:1; Ephesians 2:6).¹¹² In other words, the dying and rising in baptism is not to be construed in a crassly literal sense as in many ancient pagan initiation rites.¹¹³ The bodily death and resurrection of Jesus is the pattern or prototype (that is, the church’s foundation), and those who are baptized “into Christ Jesus” do indeed experience death and resurrection but with reference to sin, the world, the body of Christ’s church, and the coming resurrection.¹¹⁴ The dying and subsequent rising become a reality through faith in its existential/eschatological context.

The union of the individual with Christ is such that the experiences of Christ are reenacted in the experience of the individual Christian. The life, death, resurrection and glorification of Jesus cease to be mere external facts of history but living realities in the Christian’s own life. The latter appropriates to himself the past events of the historical and risen life of Jesus so that they become his own.¹¹⁵

It also must be stressed further that baptism is a *real* experience of this union with Christ; it is not just a “mere sign.” “That which baptism symbolizes also actually *happens*, and [it happens]

¹¹¹Beasley-Murray, *Baptism*, 143-144.

¹¹²Meeks, *First Urban Christians*, 155.

¹¹³For an example, see C. K. Barrett, ed., *The New Testament Background: Selected Documents* (New York, NY: Harper & Row, 1961), 96-100. Compare Glover’s survey of the mystery cults, *Conflict of Religions*, 20ff.

¹¹⁴Meeks nicely depicts the two nearly symmetrical movements associated with baptism. “The first, characterized by descending action, climaxes with the ‘burial’ in the water; it signifies the separation of the baptized from the outside world. The second, a rising action, marks the integration of the baptized into another world, the sect on one plane, the heavenly reality on another.” *First Urban Christians*, 156-157. In Romans 6 the contrast is not nearly so involved, but a definite life/death antithesis is to be noted. See Addendum E at end of paper.

¹¹⁶W. D. Davies, *Paul and Rabbinic Judaism*, 4th ed. (Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1980), 88.

precisely through baptism."¹¹⁶ Christ himself is both present and personally active in and through the "visible word of baptism."¹¹⁷ And the tangible element of water and the tangible movements of dipping and rising again in immersion enhance baptism's forceful realism. So much so that it is precisely this experience of death with Christ in baptism that brings death to sin. The Christian needs to sin no longer, because he has a new master or a new owner (see vv. 15ff.). And it is precisely this fellowship of resurrection with Christ in baptism that brings triumphant hope of the death of death itself (see vv. 8-10). Baptism unites the believer with Christ both in his death and in his resurrection.

Paul, however, strikingly uses the aorist tense when referring to the believer's baptismal death, but he uses the future tense when referring to the Christian's resurrection in baptism (*esometha*, "we will be," v. 5; *sudzesomen*, "we will live," v. 8).¹¹⁸ These references to resurrection in the future seem to be Paul's way of exhorting the Roman Christians to more diligence concerning sanctification.¹¹⁹

His main emphasis is on dying with Christ, as, against any accusation of a deficient 'cheap' view of grace, he insists that the believer's present life cannot be one of living in sin because he or she has died to sin. But dying with Christ is only one side of the coin. It is a precondition which finds its intended completion in the sharing of the new resurrection life of Christ.¹²⁰

¹¹⁶Anders Nygren, *Commentary on Romans*, 3rd ed. (Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1975), 233. Contrast Cecil Hook's overreaction to a sacramental/magical view of baptism: "Baptism symbolizes and confirms the change that the convert has undergone rather than accomplishing the change. . . . Regeneration is a process finalized by baptism instead of being produced by it." "Our Seven Sacraments," *Restoration Review* 28, no. 10 (December 1986), 397-378. Hook tends to argue an either/or position by putting too much weight on the parallel between human birth and the new birth and by neglecting the decisive, once for all work of the Spirit at baptism.

¹¹⁷Cranfield, *Epistle to Romans* (ICC), Vol. I, 303.

¹¹⁸Compare Colossians 2:12, where Paul uses the aorist tense of both death and resurrection in baptism (*suntaphentes*, "having been buried"; *sunegerthete*, "you were raised").

¹¹⁹Compare E. P. Sanders, *Paul and Palestinian Judaism* (Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1977), 449-450, who argues that Paul's soteriology involves *only* a future resurrection, but he has to dismiss Colossians 2:11-13 as not Pauline and a later theological development.

¹²⁰Andrew T. Lincoln, *Paradise Now and Not Yet*, Society For New Testament Studies, Monograph Series, gen. ed., R. M. Wilson (Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, 1981), 122-123.

Paul seems to be arguing, “If the rising with Christ prefigured in baptism is to become a future reality, then the dying with Christ also portrayed in baptism must find fulfillment in holy living.” In this age of the “already, but not yet” (already raised with Christ in baptism, but not yet raised with Christ bodily and literally), the life of spiritual resurrection must be lived in hope of a future bodily resurrection. Until that time comes, “there is a present aspect of sharing in Christ’s resurrection,” a present reality that must be worked out by living a sanctified life. To the contrary, the libertine view of grace (vv. 1, 15) does not take God’s gift of new life seriously. To adhere to such a notion of grace would be to quench the new life of the Spirit, and that would be a rejection of what was experienced with Christ at baptism. The Roman Christians should “count” or “reckon” themselves “alive to God in Christ Jesus” (v. 11). “Through his resurrection Christ now lives to God [v. 10], and since they are *en Christo Iesou* [‘in Christ Jesus’] and identified with Christ in both his death and his life, believers are also to consider themselves alive to God.”¹²¹ But equally they are “dead to sin” (v. 11). The new life of holiness and righteousness must be followed (see vv. 15ff.). To do otherwise would be to forfeit participation in God’s greatest gift of new life, the life of the bodily resurrection. Such would also be a tragic reversion to living under law, under sin, and under the penalty of death.

B. BAPTISM AS UNION WITH CHRIST AND UNION WITH FELLOW CHRISTIANS

In his letter to churches of Galatia, Paul writes to believers struggling with the relationship of law and faith.¹²² The attempt to impose circumcision, dietary restrictions, and the observance of “appointed times” on believers had caused Galatians to question their status as Christians and the reason for their acceptance into the church (see 1:7; 3:1-5; 4:8-11; 5:2-12). “It appears that the Judaizers promoted circumcision on the grounds that only those who submitted to [these rites] could enter fully into the elect community of the people of God and become the seed of Abraham.”¹²³ This separatistic leaven put a severe strain on associations in the churches (see 5:15), so that the problem threatened to tear apart the newly formed Christian communities. Such a crisis called for a decisive response. Paul tells the Galatians “that they have already attained to the goals the agitators are holding before them, and that they have done so simply by faith in Christ.”¹²⁴ He declares, “You are all sons of God through faith in Christ Jesus” (Galatians 3:26). Faith in Christ rather than works of

¹²¹Ibid., 123.

¹²²See Helmut Koester, *History and Literature of Early Christianity*, Volume Two, Introduction to the New Testament (Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1982), 116-120. Compare Lincoln’s treatment, *Paradise Now and Not Yet*, 9-11.

¹²³Lincoln, *Paradise Now and Not Yet*, 11.

¹²⁴Ibid.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

law had been the basis of their justification before God. The law could add nothing; in fact, such would be contrary to the law’s purpose and duration (3:15ff.).¹²⁵ But in verse 27, Paul delineates the means whereby God had worked to adopt these Galatian believers into his family (cf. 4:4-7). Paul’s reminder focuses on the bodily confirmation of their faith via baptism. To allow the Galatians to forget *their exact starting point* in Christ would be too risky (cf. 3:2-5). He states, “For all of you who were baptized into Christ have been clothed with Christ” (*hosoi gar eis christon ebaptisthete, christon enedusasthe*). In other words, the faith that brought about their adoption into God’s family was the faith that led to baptism, the faith by which they “put on” Christ. Rendtorff maintains that “one sentence qualifies the other, [so that] the experience of baptism is the experience of faith.”¹²⁶ Beasley-Murray adds,

If Paul were pressed to define the relationship of the two statements in vv. 26-27, I cannot see how he could preserve the force of both sentences apart from affirming that baptism is the moment of faith in which the adoption is realized—in the dual sense of effected by God and grasped by man—which is the same as saying that in baptism faith receives the Christ in whom the adoption is effected.¹²⁷

The connection that Paul makes between faith and baptism shows that baptism is not a work of law. It is the acceptance of God’s grace in Jesus based on faith. In baptism faith receives what the grace of God gives, and God’s grace, above everything else, freely gives Christ. Baptism brings about *union* with Christ. It is God’s way of incorporating the sinner into the realm of Christ’s saving life, the realm of justification, regeneration, and sanctification. The Galatians did not need the law to save them; God had already saved them by uniting them with Jesus at their baptism.

To describe this union with Christ, Paul uses the metaphor *enduein* (“to put on,” “to be clothed with”). Possibly it signifies being clothed with the righteousness of Christ (for example, his robe of righteousness; cf. Isaiah 61:10; Job 29:14; Psalm 132:9; Zechariah 3:3ff.), or perhaps it describes putting on the new man who is recreated in the image of Christ (cf. Romans 13:14; Ephesians 4:20ff.; Colossians 3:9ff.).¹²⁸ The term could also refer to the symbolic practice of dressing the baptismal candidate or the newly baptized convert with a white robe. Richardson notes,

¹²⁵Ibid.

¹²⁶*Die Taufe im Urchristentum im Lichte der Neueren Forschungen* (Leipzig, Germany: n.p., 1905), 36, cited by Beasley-Murray, *Baptism*, 151.

¹²⁷Ibid.

¹²⁸See Richardson’s fine treatment of these texts, *Introduction to the Theology of the New Testament*, 344-347.

Older commentators thought that this practice could hardly have begun in St. Paul’s day. But there is no reason why the practice should be less likely to have arisen in A.D. 50 than in A.D. 150; Justin Martyr (*Dialogue with Trypho* 116) attests its prevalence in the second century. After all, some such practice must have been necessary from the very beginning, since baptism was by total immersion in running water (cf. *Didache* 7:1-3; Hebrews 10:22), and the earliest Christians doubtless shared in full the aversion of the Jews [to] the state of *gumnotes* [“nakedness”]. What is more likely than that the putting on of the white robe should from the beginning have represented to the mind of the church the putting on of Christ, just as the actual immersion in the river represented the burial of the Christian with Christ in his death, and as the rising from the water symbolized his resurrection from the dead (cf. Romans 6:3f.)? . . . [Similarly], the seer’s conception of the robes of the saints washed in the blood of the Lamb (Revelation 7:14; 22:14) is his way of expressing the great truth which the whole NT affirms, namely, baptismal justification.¹²⁹

Whatever the exact meaning, the “putting on” of Christ in baptism does indicate a close and strong association between the baptized and Christ. Such union with Christ is complete, real, and irreversible; it is a *once for all*¹³⁰ act of union with the risen Lord. And it is an act with extensive, spiritual consequences.

¹²⁹Ibid., 346-347. Compare Meeks, *First Urban Christians*, 155-157. Frederick Rendall observes that by this image of clothing, baptism is “likened to spiritual coming of age.” Just as the Roman youth exchanges the *toga praetexta* for the *toga virilis* and passes into the rank of citizen, so the Christian at baptism receives the robe of spiritual maturity, a symbol of emancipation from earlier bondage to an outward law. “Hitherto bound to obey definite commandments and fulfill definite duties, the convert is now set free to learn God’s will from the inward voice of the Spirit, and discharge the heavier obligations incumbent on a citizen of the heavenly commonwealth under the guidance of an enlightened conscience.” “The Epistle To The Galatians,” *The Expositor’s Greek Testament*, Vol. III, 174.

¹³⁰“It is of the essence of the eucharist that it is *repeated*, whereas baptism *cannot be repeated* for the individual. . . . In baptism, the individual is, for the first time and once for all, set at the point in history where salvation operates—where even now, the death and resurrection of Christ, the forgiveness of sins and the Holy Ghost, are to be efficacious for him. . . . [Furthermore], what happens in the act of baptism is clearly defined, in the decisive Pauline texts 1 Corinthians 12:13 and Galatians 3:27-28, as a setting within the body of Christ. God sets a man within the body of Christ; and *at this moment* therefore the reception of this act on the part of the person baptised consists in nothing else than that he is the passive object of God’s dealing, that he *is really set within* the body of Christ by God.” Cullman, *Baptism*, 29, 30, 31. See also Richardson, *Introduction to the Theology of the New Testament*, 348-349.

One obvious consequence of this union with Christ is *union with others who have likewise experienced union with Christ*. Baptism is much more than union with Christ on an individual basis. Because of their common baptism based upon like faith unto the same Lord (cf. Ephesians 4:5, “one Lord, one faith, one baptism”), Paul tells the Galatians, “There is neither Jew nor Greek, slave nor free, male nor female, for you are all one in Christ Jesus. If you belong to Christ, then you are Abraham’s seed, and heirs according to the promise” (3:28-29; cf. Colossians 3:11; 1 Corinthians 12:13). The apostle here addresses these believers collectively, “For *ye* are all *one* in Christ Jesus, and if *ye* be Christ’s, then are *ye* Abraham’s seed” (*King James Version*). Baptism in Christ effects union with others. Baptism into Christ creates community. Baptism gives birth to family, to brothers and sisters in Christ, who share a common life, who are the people of God. To use the words of Paul, “So in Christ we who are many form *one body*, and each member belongs to all the others” (Romans 12:5; on “one body” cf. 1 Corinthians 6:15-17; 10:17; 12:12-13, 20, 27; Ephesians 2:16; 4:4, 25; 5:30; Colossians 3:15). So great is this union with one another in Christ that,

Every barrier is swept away. No special claims, no special disabilities exist in him, none can exist. The conventional distinctions of religious caste or of social rank, even the natural distinction of sex, are banished hence. One heart beats in all; one mind guides all; one life is lived by all. *Ye* are all *one man*, for *ye* are members of Christ.¹³¹

And union with Christ destroys faction, schism, and disunity, since it is God’s movement against the structures and forces of the prevailing godless culture.¹³²

Similarly, when he writes to the Corinthian church, Paul connects baptism to this work of God in joining together individual believers as one body.¹³³ To a “church of God” wracked by squabbles, immorality, and divisions, he affirms the unity effected by God’s Spirit at baptism. “For

¹³¹J. B. Lightfoot, *The Epistle of St. Paul to the Galatians* (rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1957), 150.

¹³²“The early Christians did not see themselves as isolated individuals. Their interdependence and love amazed pagans, helping to convert some of them to Christ. . . . In strong communities Christians also found protection from the corroding influences of the non-Christian culture. . . . But we would be mistaken to think Christians formed committed communities only for the sake of evangelism or resistance to pagan culture. The basic reason for their unity was an understanding of the gospel—that in Christ God [was] raising up a new race of men and women, the people of God, joined together as a body.” Kevin Springer, “Brothers and Sisters in Christ,” *Pastoral Renewal* 8 (February 1984), 84.

¹³³On the church as the body of Christ, see Ridderbos, *Paul*, 362ff.; Sanders, *Paul and Palestinian Judaism*, 453ff.; and Jerome Murphy-O’Connor, *St. Paul’s Corinth: Texts and Archaeology*, Vol. 6, Good News Studies, ed. R. J. Karris (Wilmington, DE: Michael Glazier, 1983), 165, 167.

indeed in *one* Spirit we *all* into *one* body were baptized—whether Jews or Greeks, whether bondmen or freemen—and we *all* of *one* Spirit were made to drink” (12:13).¹³⁴ Paul’s stress on “oneness” is very clear. But what is equally clear is the means by which this oneness is effected. Note that both verbs in this verse are aorist passives, “ye were baptized” (*ebaptisthemen*), “ye were given to drink” (*epotisthemen*). The emphasis falls upon the action of God’s Spirit. The Corinthians’ baptism into one body was effected “in” or “by” one Spirit (*en henī pneumati*).¹³⁵ It was not brought about by their own merit nor by their own abilities. Rather it was the work of the Spirit through water (*en hudati*)¹³⁶ that made them one. At baptism “*one* Spirit flooded their souls with the love and joy of a common faith in Christ.”¹³⁷ At baptism they were drenched or saturated with God’s Spirit which had at Pentecost been poured out for all to drink.¹³⁸ Paul’s reminder further exhorts the Corinthians to so live as people of the Spirit. “The argument of the passage as a whole is that the Christians at Corinth who have the most spectacular *charismata* [‘gifts’] should not boast of them.”¹³⁹ There are many gifts but one Spirit. There are many members but one body. The essential unity of God’s people needs to be recognized and preserved. So Paul focuses on the Corinthians’ common baptism by the same Spirit, something that “all” (*pantes* is repeated twice in v. 13) received equally. As Althaus suggests,

Since it is precisely the apostle’s concern to show the inner consolidation of Christians in one unified organism by means of a public-fact-of-experience, he could not use an inner, purely ‘spiritual’ event as his evidence; he could call upon only an historical event which had occurred in the same way to all members, by which their entrance into the spiritual ‘body’ came also outwardly into view.¹⁴⁰

¹³⁴G. G. Findlay’s translation and emphasis, “St. Paul’s First Epistle To The Corinthians,” *The Expositor’s Greek Testament*, Vol. II, 890.

¹³⁵The translation of “by” is to be preferred “on the analogy of 1 Corinthians 6:11, and the immediately preceding references to the Spirit’s agency in the church.” Beasley-Murray, *Baptism*, 167.

¹³⁶“The baptism ‘in or by one Spirit’, 1 Corinthians 12:13, is Christian baptism in water.” *Ibid.*, 169.

¹³⁷Findlay, “Corinthians,” *Expositor’s Greek Testament*, Vol. II, 890.

¹³⁸On *potidzein* (“to give to drink”), compare Isaiah 29:10; 43:20; Joel 3:18; and Psalm 36:8.

¹³⁹Sanders, *Paul and Palestinian Judaism*, 457.

¹⁴⁰Paul Althaus, *Die Heilsbedeutung der Taufe* (Gutersloh, Germany: Bertelsmann, 1897), 48, cited by Bruner, *Theology of the Holy Spirit*, 292.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

Paul appropriately calls forth something outward, public, and objective. He calls forth baptism, that “profound initiation event through which every Christian passes and by which every Christian is given the same spiritual gift” (that is, the Holy Spirit).¹⁴¹ But at baptism the Corinthians also received ordination to the ministry of Christ’s spiritual body, the church, and every member received the personal *charisma* (“gift”) of the Spirit to enable each one to fulfill his or her God-given *diakonia* (“service”).¹⁴² But as Beasley-Murray indicates,

The controlling idea of [the passage] is not a personal, in the sense of private, receiving of the Spirit, but the social concept of incorporation of the baptized through the Spirit into the body of Christ. . . . The unity of the body does not consist in uniformity of character and function, but these differentiated functions are possible because the body is a unity, informed by one life and inspired by one Spirit. . . . [So] baptism obliterates the disunities of man and harmonizes them in the unity of Christ’s body in the one Spirit.¹⁴³

And this unity exists not because baptism is “into the name of Paul” (see 1:13-17), nor because of any individual that administered the baptism.¹⁴⁴ The unity of the body in baptism is made a reality solely “through the name of our Lord Jesus Christ” (*dia tou onomatos tou kuriou hemon Iesou Christou*, 1:10; cf. 1:2; 5:4; 6:11; 10:2). “Christian baptism is ‘in the name of the Lord Jesus’, to the crucified and exalted redeemer, who has sent to his church the Spirit promised from the Father; and the Spirit he sends is his agent, communicating the benefits of his redemption.”¹⁴⁵ The Lord Jesus is for the church *the unifying personality*. All members of the church draw their common life from him through the Spirit, a oneness of life received at baptism; and it is precisely because of such a valued sense of baptism that Paul “regarded with such abhorrence its debasement by Corinthian partisanship.”¹⁴⁶

¹⁴¹Ibid.; compare 1 John 2:20; 2:27 where the Spirit is referred to as an “anointing” (Greek *chrisma*).

¹⁴²Richardson, *Introduction to the Theology of the New Testament*, 350-351.

¹⁴³Beasley-Murray, *Baptism*, 170-171.

¹⁴⁴This seems to be the thrust of Paul's disclaimer—“Christ did not send me to baptize, but to preach the gospel” (1 Corinthians 1:17). To see in this statement a minimizing of the significance of baptism is to abuse and misunderstand Paul’s sacramental teaching; see Beasley-Murray, *Baptism*, 178ff.

¹⁴⁵Ibid., 169.

¹⁴⁶W. F. Flemington, *The New Testament Doctrine of Baptism* (London, England: n.p., 1948), 54, cited by Beasley-Murray, *Baptism*, 181.

C. BAPTISM AS SPIRITUAL CIRCUMCISION

In response to false teaching at Colossae about the heavenly world and about the employment of rigorous observances, esoteric knowledge, and visionary experiences as ways of attaining salvation,¹⁴⁷ Paul vigorously affirms the supremacy of Jesus as risen and exalted Lord and the believer’s complete and secure incorporation in him.¹⁴⁸ Against the heretics’ syncretistic use of Jewish circumcision as part of initiation procedures,¹⁴⁹ Paul strikingly makes a counterclaim that compares Christian baptism with circumcision, but Paul delicately phrases his analogy by describing baptism as a circumcision made without human hands. “In him you were also circumcised, in the putting off of the sinful nature, not with a circumcision done by the hands of men, but with the circumcision done by Christ” (Colossians 2:11). The qualification of “not done by the hands of men” (*acheiropoieto*; cf. Mark 14:58; 2 Corinthians 5:1) shows that the believer’s circumcision is not literal but of the heart (cf. Deuteronomy 10:16; 30:6; Jeremiah 4:4; 9:26; Ezekiel 44:7, 9; Romans 2:28, 29; Philippians 3:3). Christ himself performs this cutting away of “the sinful nature” (*tou somatos tes sarkos*, “the body of flesh”),¹⁵⁰ and he executes this spiritual surgery at baptism (*en to baptismo*, v. 12). Baptism is the time when Christ circumcises the old nature and God resurrects the new creature. Paul nicely signals this connection by balancing the clause “having been buried with him in baptism” with a double use of the phrase “in whom also.”

*In whom also ye were circumcised,
with a spiritual circumcision,
by cutting off the carnal person,
by the circumcision [worked by] Christ;*

Having been buried together with him in baptism;

*In whom also ye were raised,
through faith,
in the working of God,
who raised him from the dead.*

¹⁴⁷See Lincoln, *Paradise Now and Not Yet*, 110-118.

¹⁴⁸Ibid., 118-122; compare F. F. Bruce, *Paul: Apostle of the Heart Set Free* (Grand Rapids: MI: Eerdmans, 1977), 417-423.

¹⁴⁹See Stanislas Lyonnet, “Paul’s Adversaries In Colossae,” and compare Gunther Bornkamm, “The Heresy Of Colossians,” both in *Conflict at Colossae*, eds. F. O. Francis and W. A. Meeks (rev. ed.; Missoula, MT: Scholars Press, 1975), 123-145, 147-161.

¹⁵⁰On Paul’s use of *sarx* (“flesh”), see Davies, *Paul and Rabbinic Judaism*, 18ff.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

This structure also indicates that the action of the aorist participle *suntaphentes* (“having been buried”) should be taken as *coincident in time* with the actions of the two main verbs, *perietmethete*, “you were circumcised,” and *sunegerthete*, “you were raised.”¹⁵¹ God so worked and man so received at baptism. The phrase “the working of God” (*tes energeias tou theou*) designates the activity of God, while the phrase “through faith” (*dia tes pisteos*) portrays the role of man in receiving. Baptism is therefore “the grave of the old man, and the birth of the new.”¹⁵² But it is only so through faith in the supreme manifestation of the power of God—the resurrection of Christ. The ordinance of baptism derives its efficacy “not from the water but from the saving act of Christ and the regenerating work of the Holy Spirit.”¹⁵³ It also must not be forgotten that Paul lays emphasis on baptism as something that belongs to the Colossians’ *past* experience (the verbs used are all aorists). In this way Paul urges the Colossians to recall their baptism. He wants them to know that God made obsolete any syncretistic attempt to achieve salvation through fleshly circumcision. God had given to them a far better circumcision at their baptism—a circumcision of the heart performed without human hands—the spiritual operation of the risen Christ.

D. BAPTISM AS SALVATION FROM SUFFERING THROUGH THE RESURRECTION

In writing to Christians of various provinces of Asia Minor (1 Peter 1:1), the apostle addresses the problem of suffering, and especially suffering for doing what is right and good (see 3:13-17; cf. 1:6; 4:12-19; 5:9).¹⁵⁴ Peter himself is probably in Rome at the time of writing (see 5:13, “Babylon” as a cryptogram for Rome), and either he had seen with his own eyes or had heard eyewitness reports of what had happened there. “When Rome was burned (A.D. 64), Nero persecuted the Christians in order to divert suspicion from himself; it was a ludicrous charge, but the Christians in Rome suffered greatly.”¹⁵⁵ The Roman annalist Tacitus describes some of the events in the following way,

¹⁵¹On usages and description of the aorist participle of identical action, see Burton, *Moods and Tenses*, 64ff.

¹⁵²J. B. Lightfoot, *Saint Paul’s Epistles to the Colossians and to Philemon* (1879; rpt. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1959), 184.

¹⁵³F. F. Bruce, *Commentary on the Epistle to the Colossians*, The New International Commentary on the New Testament (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1957), 236.

¹⁵⁴See E. G. Selwyn, *The First Epistle of Peter* (London, England: Macmillan, 1946), 78ff.

¹⁵⁵Ernest Best, *1 Peter*, *The New Century Bible Commentary*, gen ed., Matthew Black (rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1977), 41.

First, Nero had self-acknowledged Christians arrested. Then, on their information, large numbers of others were condemned—not so much for incendiaryism as for their anti-social tendencies.¹⁵⁶ Their deaths were made farcical. Dressed in wild animals’ skins, they were torn to pieces by dogs, or crucified, or made into torches to be ignited after dark as substitutes for daylight. Nero provided his gardens for the spectacle, and exhibited displays in the circus, at which he mingled with the crowd—or stood in a chariot, dressed as a charioteer. Despite their guilt as Christians, and the ruthless punishment it deserved, the victims were pitied. For it was felt that they were being sacrificed to one man’s brutality rather than to the national interest.¹⁵⁷

True, the experience of Roman Christians should not be transferred uncritically to the situation of believers in Asia Minor at a later time. But after the Neronian conflagration, Peter probably knew what to expect!¹⁵⁸ He writes to encourage believers to stand firm in their faith (see 5:12), because the persecution they would face would only be temporary. “Who is there to harm you?” he questions (*Revised Standard Version*, 3:13). Who can corrupt you? Who will cause you to lose your inheritance? Who can destroy your life in Christ? The obvious answer is, “No one can!” (cf. Romans 8:31ff.). If you suffer because you have become a Christian, God’s blessing rests upon you (cf. Matthew 5:3ff.; Luke 6:22). So “do not fear what they fear; do not be frightened” (3:14).¹⁵⁹ Rather “in your hearts reverence Christ as Lord” (*Revised Standard Version*, 3:15). Regard Christ (not Caesar) as holy, and do not fear those persecuting you. Fear the Lord (cf. Matthew 10:28)! If unbelievers bring you before the Roman tribunal, or if they accuse you privately, be ready to make a reasoned defense rather than condemning or accusing in return (cf. 2:23; 3:9). “Keep your

¹⁵⁶The Latin phrase *odio humani generis* could also mean “detested [or] hated of the human race.”

¹⁵⁷Tacitus (15:44), *The Annals of Imperial Rome*, trans. Michael Grant (rev. ed.; New York, NY: Penguin, 1971), 365-366. On the Neronian persecution of Christians, see Bo Reicke, *The New Testament Era*, trans. D. E. Green (Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1968), 245-251.

¹⁵⁸For the development of Roman persecution of Christians, see Philip Schaff, *History of the Christian Church*, Vol. II, Ante-Nicene Christianity, A.D. 100-325 (1910; rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1979), 31-84. For source documents, see Naphtali Lewis and Meyer Reinhold, *Roman Civilization, Sourcebook II: The Empire* (New York, NY: Harper & Row, 1966), 552-610. For a good treatment of Pliny and the Christians, see Robert L. Wilken, *The Christians as the Romans Saw Them* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1984), 1-30.

¹⁵⁹This is a quote from Isaiah 8 where the prophet is told not to fear the king of Assyria as the Israelites do. “Do not fear what they fear, and do not dread it. The Lord Almighty is the one you are to regard as holy, he is the one you are to fear, he is the one you are to dread” (vv. 12-13).

conscience clear,” and put the accusations of the pagans to rest by your good behavior (3:16), because “it is better to suffer for doing right, if that should be God’s will, than for doing wrong” (*Revised Standard Version*, 3:17; cf. 2:20).

At this point in his hortatory argument,¹⁶⁰ Peter introduces the example and victory of Christ (3:18-22).¹⁶¹ He shows to these beleaguered saints the triumph of Jesus over all opposing powers. “This triumph began in his redeeming death, was established through his resurrection, and is now effective, through his ascension and sitting at God’s right hand.”¹⁶² Christ died (v. 18); Christ was raised (vv. 19-21); Christ ascended and now he reigns (v. 22)! Such a proclamation spoke powerfully to the situation of these persecuted Christians.¹⁶³ Facing powers of evil, the readers would know that in Christ hostile forces had already been defeated, and that every believer shares in the triumph of his or her Master.

The death of Christ. “Christ died *for sins*,” affirms Peter (v. 18). His was a redemptive death. His sacrifice was “*once for all*.” It was a decisive and complete victory. No more sacrifice for sins was necessary. He also died a substitutionary death for *guilty ones*. His death was “the righteous one *on behalf of* the unrighteous ones” (*dikaïos huper adikôn*; cf. 1:19; 2:22). Notice very carefully the cutting edge of Peter’s focus on the *death* of Jesus. His readers face possible torture, estrangement from family, homelessness, and even death! They struggle with these terrible experiences of suffering, and especially suffering brought about by serving a crucified Lord! So when Peter emphasizes the death of Jesus, the burning question seems to be, “Why? Why did he do this?” And this question cannot be far removed from their own negative experience. It is really two questions in one: “Why did *he* do this; why must *I* go through this?” Peter has a ready answer. He focuses, however, on the prior question. Christ died “in order that you might be led to God” (*hina humas prosage to theo*). But the answer is not completely unrelated to the latter question. Peter also is saying, “It is for this faith in Christ who died for you that you too are called to suffer. It’s not an optional extra; it’s the only way of salvation, the only way to God; but it’s worth the cost!”¹⁶⁴

¹⁶⁰On whether or not the epistle of 1 Peter is a baptismal treatise, see Beasley-Murray, *Baptism*, 251-258; compare Best, *1 Peter*, 21-27.

¹⁶¹For an excellent overview, see R. T. France, “Exegesis In Practice: Two Examples,” *New Testament Interpretation*, ed. I. H. Marshall (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1977), 264-278.

¹⁶²*Ibid.*, 266.

¹⁶³Even if some Christians were not experiencing persecution at the time of Peter’s writing, such would come eventually. See Donald Guthrie, *New Testament Introduction* (Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity, 1970), 781-784. Through these Christians, Peter speaks encouragement and hope to the church universal for all ages.

¹⁶⁴France, “Exegesis,” *New Testament Interpretation*, 277.

The resurrection of Christ. The believer's suffering possesses value, since in Christ the negative aspects of suffering are revolutionized radically. What appear to be wounds of defeat inflicted by the world become marks of glory borne by the disciple (see Galatians 6:14, 17). Such can be true only because "the apparent defeat of death was for Jesus the beginning of [his] victory."¹⁶⁵ Death led to resurrection and triumph. "God raised him up again, putting an end to the agony of death, since it was impossible for him to be held in its power" (*New American Standard Bible*, Acts 2:24). Even though "he was put to death in the flesh, (God) made him alive in the spirit" (cf. Romans 1:3f.; 1 Timothy 3:16). "Death could not keep its prey, he tore the bars away."¹⁶⁶ Moreover, in his *resurrected state* ("in which also," *en ho kai*),¹⁶⁷ Jesus went and preached to the spirits in prison (v. 19; cf. Jude 6; 2 Peter 2:4).¹⁶⁸ While a myriad of interpretations of verse 19 have been suggested,¹⁶⁹ it seems best to see in this preaching of Jesus a post-resurrection *proclamation* or *announcement*¹⁷⁰ of his victory over sin, over death, over all evil, and over all hostile spiritual powers (cf. Colossians 2:15). To these defeated powers in the "prison" where they awaited judgment, Christ declared his conquest. France paraphrases, "In the triumph of his resurrection he went to the fallen angels awaiting judgment in their place of confinement, and proclaimed to them the victory won by his redeeming death."¹⁷¹ Perhaps Jesus told them, "I am the Living One; I was dead, and behold I am alive for ever and ever! I hold the keys of death and Hades" (Revelation 1:18). This message of Christ's proclamation of victory would assure Peter's suffering Christian readers that the spiritual powers of evil influencing their pagan opponents had already been defeated. He says, in effect, "Even the most wicked powers had to recognize the authority of the risen Jesus. So whatever the forces against you, they are not his equal. Do not fear!"¹⁷²

¹⁶⁵Ibid., 266.

¹⁶⁶Robert Lowry, "Low In The Grave He Lay" (1874).

¹⁶⁷See France's treatment, "Exegesis," *New Testament Interpretation*, 268-269.

¹⁶⁸"The evidence is more than sufficient to indicate that *ta en phulake pneumata* ('the spirits in prison') must be fallen angels who according to apocalyptic tradition, sinned at the time of Noah, and are in custody awaiting their final punishment." Ibid., 270.

¹⁶⁹See John S. Feinberg, "1 Peter 3:18-20, Ancient Mythology, and the Intermediate State," *The Westminster Theological Journal* XLVII (Fall 1986), 303-336.

¹⁷⁰The Greek word *kerussein* can mean "to act as herald" or "to utter a proclamation" (so the *New American Standard Bible*).

¹⁷¹France, "Exegesis," *New Testament Interpretation*, 277.

¹⁷²Ibid.

The antitype baptism. Peter had mentioned these “spirits” of Noah’s time in order to embolden his readers. Now he turns to the flood and God’s salvation of Noah as the basis for further reassurance. It is true “God waited patiently in the days of Noah while the ark was being built” (v. 20). God is extremely patient with wicked humanity, but judgment will come (cf. 2 Peter 3:8ff.)! The proof that God’s judgment did come in the days of Noah is that *few* were saved (only *okto psuchai*, “eight souls”).

The persecuted Christians must have been painfully conscious of their small numbers and relative feebleness compared to the pagan majority among whom they lived. But Noah and his crew were an even smaller minority: only eight out of the whole wicked population of the world. Yet they were saved, and the world destroyed.¹⁷³

These eight souls *were saved through water (diesothesan di’ hudatos)*.¹⁷⁴ The flood of waters separated those rescued from those destroyed, so the waters became judgment for the unbelievers and salvation for the believers.¹⁷⁵ Peter’s readers also had experienced salvation *by means of* water (instrumental sense).¹⁷⁶ The transition from water of the flood to Christian baptism is entirely natural. So Peter adds, “Which [water] now saves even you, the antitype baptism” (*ho kai humas antitupon nun sodzei baptisma*, v. 21).¹⁷⁷ Note Peter’s forceful use of “antitype.” The antitype he affirms is baptism. “God works according to a regular pattern, so that what he has done in the past, as recorded in the Old Testament, can be expected to find its counterpart in his work in the decisive period of the New Testament.”¹⁷⁸ Just as Noah and his family were saved through water, Peter’s readers are saved by the watery experience of Christian baptism. The confidence of Peter is that “baptism now saves you,” and “any view of baptism which finds it a rather embarrassing ceremonial

¹⁷³Ibid., 272.

¹⁷⁴Note the passive voice of the verb. God as always does the saving!

¹⁷⁵“What is to unbelievers their judgment, is to believers their hope and trust.” Best, *1 Peter*, 147.

¹⁷⁶Ibid.; but compare J. H. A. Hart, “Both local and instrumental meanings of *di’* are contemplated,” “The First Epistle General Of Peter,” *The Expositor’s Greek Testament*, Vol. V, 69; and note France’s ambiguity on the point, “Exegesis,” *New Testament Interpretation*, 273.

¹⁷⁷For a brief exegetical discussion and translation, see France, “Exegesis,” *New Testament Interpretation*, 273. Surely Beasley-Murray, *Baptism*, 259, is wrong to minimize the role of water as means of salvation.

¹⁷⁸France, “Exegesis,” *New Testament Interpretation*, 273-274.

extra, irrelevant to Christian salvation, is not doing justice to [this] New Testament teaching.”¹⁷⁹ But Peter is careful to qualify his statement, lest anyone accuse him of a “magical” view of baptism. He answers the question, “How does baptism save?” Baptism saves “not [by] the removal of dirt from the body, but [by] the pledge of a good conscience toward God.” The outer act of washing in baptism does not bring salvation in and of itself. Baptism is not a matter of washing away ritual uncleanness (like Jewish ritual washings). The outer washing saves only because it represents the proper inner response (that is, the submission of the soul to God for cleansing). Baptism is a transaction with God concerning the *conscience* (*suneideseos agathes eperotema eis theon*, “an appeal to God for a clear conscience,” *Revised Standard Version*). Baptism is a pledge, a contract, an undertaking.¹⁸⁰ In baptism, the believer commits his or her life to God and to Jesus as Lord. Baptism thus functions as a pledge to maintain a good conscience by honoring Jesus and God in one’s life (cf. Acts 8:37; Romans 10:9; 1 Timothy 6:12). But this pledge of the believer has saving relevance only because of the resurrection of Jesus. Baptism saves “by the resurrection of Jesus Christ” (*di’ anastaseos Iesou Christou*). This is the Peter’s ultimate answer to the *why* of salvation in Christian baptism. This is also the reason why Peter can say “baptism also *now* saves you.” The verb is in the present tense (*sodzei*). Because of the victory of the risen Christ, not only were Peter’s readers saved at the occasion of their baptism, even now they are being saved from persecution through hope in his resurrection, a hope that exists because of their union with Christ effected by baptism. Baptism is for them God’s ark of safety from the floods of persecution through the power of Christ’s resurrection. And it is a refuge of salvation both past, present, and future. This is tremendous encouragement for these believers.

Peter reminds [them] of what their baptism means. It marks them out as God’s chosen few who, like Noah, will be saved though all around mock them and perish. Their baptismal pledge commits them to unswerving loyalty to God whatever the consequences. And their baptism is a symbol of their being united with the risen Christ, who in his resurrection has triumphed over all the powers of evil [v. 21]. It is a reminder, in fact, of all that they stand for, and of the strength in which they stand, the victory of the risen Christ.¹⁸¹

¹⁷⁹Ibid.

¹⁸⁰Ibid., 275.

¹⁸¹Ibid., 276.

ADDENDUM A: THE TWELVE OR THE ONE HUNDRED TWENTY?

First, the limiting of the outpouring of the Spirit in Acts 2 to the apostles only seems to labor under the conviction that “baptism in the Holy Spirit” is a special measure or bestowal of the Spirit intended only for the apostles and empowering them to work miracles, speak in tongues, and teach and write with inspiration. But the “baptizing with the Holy Spirit” promised by Jesus is better viewed as the general outpouring of God’s Spirit that God’s church received at Pentecost. The Spirit then became available for everyone that God calls through the gospel (that is, accepts the gift of the Holy Spirit at baptism). This baptism is not limited to a select few. It is strongly associated throughout the New Testament with the rite of water baptism, and it is available to all who will receive. The bestowal of the Spirit is administered by Jesus on the occasion of the recipient’s water baptism. It did not take place before Pentecost. It does not necessarily convey the power to perform miracles, since the apostles had performed miracles before this promise came. It does not carry with it the promise of inspiration. It does not necessarily involve the speaking in tongues, although this did occur in certain situations. The idea of the miraculous as bound up with the gift of the Spirit, the “baptism of the Spirit,” is not justifiable by New Testament evidence. The baptism is not a mere clothing with the Spirit (for example, power from on high). Power is involved, but a distinction exists between the gift of the Spirit himself and the power given by the Spirit (see 1 Corinthians 12:11). It is improper to confuse the power given by the Spirit with the gift of the Spirit himself. See Richard Rogers, *The Holy Spirit of God*, 18ff. Cf. Addendum B.

Second, the use of “all” in Acts 2:1, 4, seems to include the fuller number of disciples.

Third, Luke is careful to preserve the special role of the twelve (see 1:2, 13; 2:14), but he does so without excluding others (see 2:14-15). The special role of the twelve is not dependent on the Spirit’s baptism.

Fourth, if the 120 did not receive the Spirit at this time, then when? Acts 2:41 seems to indicate only those who “received the word” of Peter were baptized (and received the Holy Spirit). The 120 had already received the word; they were part of those “numbered among us” (Acts 1:17). The 120 (cf. the seventy Jesus sent out during his earthly ministry) comprise a group that included “men who have accompanied us during all the time that the Lord Jesus went in and out among us, beginning from the baptism of John until the day when he was taken up from us—one of these men must become with us a witness to his resurrection” (*Revised Standard Version*, vv. 21-22; cf. 1 Corinthians 15:6, “five hundred brethren”). The fact that the disciples had a choice between Justus and Matthias (evidently selected from a larger number of possible candidates) would indicate a broader group than the twelve involved in this ministry. So the Spirit’s falling on all these who shared in Jesus’ ministry from the beginning of John’s baptism to the resurrection of Jesus is not at all surprising.

Fifth, the identification of those speaking in tongues as Galileans (2:4, 7) does not necessarily restrict the number to the twelve. Jesus spent the largest portion of his ministry in Galilee, and this is where he had the greatest following. This is especially true concerning his immediate, intimate disciples (which seems true also of the 120). See Matthew 28:7, 10, 16, 17, the emphasis on the eleven but not a neglect of a larger number. Compare also Mark 16:7; Luke 24:6; and Luke 24:33 (“the eleven and those who were with them” and the promise to this larger group in vv. 44ff.). In John 20:19, 20, 24, 26, 30, the number of the “disciples” is not to be restricted to the eleven.

ADDENDUM B: BAPTISM AND THE GIFT OF THE HOLY SPIRIT (by J. Cottrell)

1. The fact that baptism is the point of time when regeneration occurs can be explained only in connection with the teaching that the gift of the Holy Spirit is received at baptism.
 - a. The Holy Spirit is the life-giver: John 6:63; 7:37-39; Romans 8:2, 6, 11, 13; 1 Corinthians 15:45; 2 Corinthians 3:6; Galatians 6:8.
 - b. "The gift of life is prominently ascribed to the Spirit. . . . Whatever vitality man possesses is itself the gift of the Spirit of God" (G. Hendry, *The Holy Spirit in Christian Theology*).
2. It is characteristic of many religious groups to separate baptism and the gift of the Holy Spirit.
 - a. But New Testament passages connect baptism with the gift of the Holy Spirit. See Acts 2:38-39; John 3:5; Titus 3:5; 1 Corinthians 6:11.
 - b. See also the following passages: Acts 3:9; 5:31-32; 9:12, 17-18; 19:2-3; 1 Corinthians 12:13; Colossians 2:12 compared with Romans 8:11; Ephesians 1:13 compared with Acts 18:8.
 - c. See also the connection between joy/rejoicing and the Holy Spirit in Acts 8:39; 13:52; 16:34; Romans 14:17; 15:13; Galatians 5:22.
3. The entrance of the Holy Spirit is a seal upon our hearts. See 2 Corinthians 1:22; Ephesians 1:13.
4. Is there any special terminology used for the different aspects of the Spirit's working? This does not seem to be the case. See chart below.

NEW TESTAMENT TERMINOLOGY REGARDING GIFT OF THE HOLY SPIRIT

1. *Baptism in* the Holy Spirit: Mark 1:8; Matthew 3:11; Luke 3:16; John 1:33; Acts 1:4-5; 11:16; 1 Corinthians 6:11; 12:13.
2. *Pouring out* of the Holy Spirit: Acts 2:17, 18; 2:33; 10:45; Titus 3:5-6.
3. *Gift / Giving* of the Holy Spirit: John 4:10, 14; 7:39; 2 Corinthians 1:22; Acts 2:38; 5:32; 8:18; 10:45; 11:17; 15:8.
4. *Receiving* the Holy Spirit: John 7:39; Romans 8:15; Galatians 3:2, 14; Acts 2:38; 8:15, 17, 19; 10:47; 19:2.
5. Other
 - a. *Came upon*, Acts 1:8; 19:6
 - b. *Fell upon*, Acts 8:16; 10:44; 11:15
 - c. *Drink / Give to Drink / Partake*, John 4:14; 7:37; Hebrews 6:4 (see Ephesians 3:6), 1 Corinthians 12:13
 - d. *Sent forth*, Galatians 4:6
 - e. *Sealed with*, Ephesians 1:13; 4:30; 2 Corinthians 1:22
 - f. *Have from God*, 1 Corinthians 6:19 (see Acts 3:19)

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

ADDENDUM C: BAPTISM & FAITH (by J. Cottrell)¹⁸²

<u><i>God's Gift</i></u>	<u><i>Promised to Faith</i></u>	<u><i>Connected with Baptism</i></u>
Forgiveness of sins	1 John 1:9; Acts 15:9	Acts 2:38; 22:16
Union with Christ	Ephesians 3:18 Galatians 2:20 Colossians 2:12	Galatians 3:26 Colossians 2:12 Romans 6:1-11
Possession of Spirit	Galatians 3:2, 14	Acts 2:38; Titus 3:5
Church Membership	Acts 5:14; 4:32 Galatians 6:10	1 Corinthians 12:13 Galatians 3:27ff.
Inherit Kingdom	John 3:14; Mark 10:15	John 3:5
Justified / Sanctified	Romans, chapters 3–5	1 Corinthians 6:11
Sonship	Galatians 3:26	Galatians 3:26-27
Holy Living	Philippians 3:8-11	Colossians 3:1ff.

¹⁸²Chart from G. A. Beasley-Murray, *Baptism Today and Tomorrow*, 27-33. “In light of these statements I am compelled to conclude that the understanding of baptism as ‘a beautiful and expressive symbol,’ *and nothing more*, is irreconcilable with the NT. . . . It is evident that God’s gift to baptism and to faith is one: it is salvation in Christ.” Beasley-Murray, *Baptism Today and Tomorrow*, 32, 37. “Baptism and faith are but the outside and the inside of the same thing.” James Denney, *The Death of Christ*, 185, cited in Beasley-Murray, *Baptism Today and Tomorrow*, 33.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

ADDENDUM D: LAYING ON OF HANDS IN THE NEW TESTAMENT

<u>Reference</u>	<u>By Whom</u>	<u>On Whom</u>	<u>Purpose</u>
Mt. 19:13 (NIV) (cf. Mk. 10:16)	Jesus	Little children	“To pray for them”
Mk. 5:23 (cf. 1:41)	Jesus	Jairus’ daughter	Healing
Mk. 6:5	Jesus	A few sick people	Healing
Mk. 7:32	Jesus	A deaf man	Healing
Mk. 8:23	Jesus	A blind man	Healing
Mk. 16:18 (?)	Disciples	Sick people	Healing
Lk. 4:40	Jesus	Sick; diseased	Healing
Lk. 13:13	Jesus	Crippled woman	Healing
Acts 6:6	Apostles	Seven men, full of faith & the Holy Spirit	Appointment to ministry; connected with prayer
Acts 8:17	Peter & John	Samaritans	After baptism, to impart the Holy Spirit
Acts 9:17	Ananias	Saul	Before baptism, to bless or heal (?)
Acts 13:3	Church leaders; whole church (?)	Barnabas & Saul	To set apart for work, v. 2; with prayer & fasting
Acts 19:6	Paul	Disciples at Ephesus	To confer the Holy Spirit; at baptism? after?
Acts 28:8	Paul	Publius’ father	Healing, with prayer
1 Timothy 4:14	Presbytery	Timothy	“Gift” (<i>charismatos</i>) given “through” (<i>dia</i>) prophecy “with” (<i>meta</i>) laying on of hands; reference to extraordinary gift (?)
1 Timothy 5:22	Timothy	Others; someone	To bless, approve (?)
2 Timothy 1:6	Paul	Timothy	“Gift” (<i>charisma</i>) of God” (cf. Hebrews 6:2); reference to Holy Spirit who came upon Timothy at his baptism (?)

ADDENDUM E

THE WORLD

“god of this world”
Satan, demons, etc.

old human

vices

oppositions (kinship,
roles, status)

instruction

unclothing /
dying

descending

washing /
burial /
baptism

THE BODY OF CHRIST

one God, one Lord
further instruction

new human
(image of Christ)

virtues

unity (brothers and sisters,
children of God)

gift of Spirit
“Abba!” “Kyrios Iesus!”

enthronement?
anointing?

reclipping /
revivification

ascending

—from Meeks, *First Urban Christians*, 156

DEATH

- v. 2, died to sin
- v. 3, baptized into death
- v. 4, buried into death
- v. 5, united with him in death
- v. 6, old self crucified;
body of sin destroyed
- v. 7, has died
- v. 8, died with Christ
- v. 9,
- v. 10, death he died;
died to sin;
once for all
- v. 11, dead to sin
- v. 13, wickedness
etc.

LIFE

- how can we live in it
- just as . . . we too . . . new life
will be in his resurrection
no longer slaves to sin
- freed from sin
will live with him
Christ raised from the dead;
cannot die again;
death no longer has dominion
life he lives;
lives to God
- alive to God
righteousness

—from Romans, chapter 6

David W Fletcher, "Baptism in the New Testament," Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Aland, Kurt, et al., eds. *Nestle-Aland Novum Testamentum Graece*, 26th ed. Stuttgart, Germany: Deutsche Bibelstiftung, 1979.

Aldrich, Joseph C. *Life-Style Evangelism*. Portland, OR: Multnomah, 1981.

Baillie, Donald M. *The Theology of the Sacraments*. New York, NY: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1957.

Barrett, C. K. *The Gospel according to St. John*, 2nd ed. Philadelphia, PA Westminster, 1978.

Barrett, C. K., ed. *The New Testament Background: Selected Documents*. New York, NY: Harper & Row, 1961.

Bartels, K. H. "Remember, Remembrance." *The New International Dictionary of New Testament Theology*, gen. ed. Colin Brown. Vol. 3. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1978.

Beasley-Murray, G. R. *Baptism in the New Testament*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1962.

Beasley-Murray, G. R. "Baptism, Wash." *The New International Dictionary of New Testament Theology*, gen. ed. Colin Brown. Vol. 1. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1975.

Best, Ernest. *1 Peter, The New Century Bible Commentary*, gen. ed. Matthew Black. Rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1977.

Bietenhard, Hans. "Onoma, etc." *Theological Dictionary of the New Testament*, eds. G. Kittel and G. Friedrich. Vol. V. Eng. trans.; Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1967.

Blass, F., and A. Debrunner. *A Greek Grammar of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature*, trans. Robert W. Funk. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1961.

Bornkamm, Gunther. "The Heresy of Colossians." *Conflict at Colossae*, eds. F. O. Francis and W. A. Meeks. Rev. ed.; Missoula, MT: Scholars Press, 1975.

Briney, J. B. "Baptism—Its Action, Subjects and Import." *The Old Faith Restated*, ed. J. H. Garrison. St. Louis, MO: Christian Publishing Company, 1891.

Brown, Colin. *Miracles and the Critical Mind*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1984.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

Bruce, F. F. *The Acts of the Apostles*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1951.

Bruce, F. F. *Commentary on the Epistle to the Colossians*. The New International Commentary on the New Testament. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1957.

Bruce, F. F. *The Hard Sayings of Jesus*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity, 1983.

Bruce, F. F. *Paul: Apostle of the Heart Set Free*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1977.

Bruner, Frederick Dale. *A Theology of the Holy Spirit*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1970.

Bultmann, Rudolph. *Primitive Christianity*, trans. R. H. Fuller. Cleveland, OH: World, 1956.

Burton, Ernest De Witt. *Syntax of the Moods and Tenses in New Testament Greek*. 1900; rpt., Grand Rapid, MI: Kregel, 1976.

Campbell, Alexander. *Christian Baptism*. Rpt., Nashville, TN: McQuiddy, 1913.

Carson, D. A. “Matthew.” *The Expositor’s Bible Commentary*, gen. ed. Frank E. Gaebelin. Vol. 8. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1984.

Cottrell, Jack. “Doctrine Of Baptism: Course Outline.” Cincinnati, OH: Cincinnati Christian Seminary, n.d.

Cranfield, C. E. B. *The Epistle to the Romans*. The International Critical Commentary, gen. eds. J. A. Emerton and C. E. B. Cranfield. Vol. I. Rpt., Edinburgh, Scotland: T. & T. Clark, 1982.

Cullmann, Oscar. *Baptism in the New Testament*, trans. J. K. S. Reid. Philadelphia, PA: Westminster, 1950.

Dahl, Nils Alstrup. “Anamnesis: Memory and Commemoration in Early Christianity.” *Jesus in the Memory of the Early Church*. Minneapolis, MN: Augsburg, 1976.

Davies, W. D. *Paul and Rabbinic Judaism*, 4th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1980.

Dunn, J. D. G. *Baptism in the Holy Spirit*. London, England, SCM, 1970.

Feinberg, John S. “1 Peter 3:18-20, Ancient Mythology, and the Intermediate State.” *The Westminster Theological Journal XLVIII* (Fall 1986).

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

Findlay, G. G. “St. Paul’s First Epistle To The Corinthians.” *The Expositor’s Greek Testament*, ed. W. R. Nicoll. Vol. II. Rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1983.

Finegan, Jack. *The Archeology of the New Testament*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1969.

France, R. T. “Exegesis In Practice: Two Examples.” *New Testament Interpretation*, ed. I. H. Marshall. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1977.

Garrett, Leroy. *The Stone-Campbell Movement*. Joplin, MO: College Press, 1981.

Gaster, T. H. “Samaritans.” *The Interpreter’s Dictionary of the Bible*, ed. G. A. Buttrick. Vol. 4. Nashville, TN: Abingdon, 1962.

Glover, T. R. *The Conflict of Religions in the Early Roman Empire*. 1909; rpt., Washington, DC: Canon, 1974.

Green, Michael. *I Believe in the Holy Spirit*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1975.

Guthrie, Donald. *New Testament Introduction*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity, 1970.

Hart, J. H. A. “The First Epistle General Of Peter.” *The Expositor’s Greek Testament*, ed. W. R. Nicoll. Vol. V. Rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1983.

Hatch, Edwin, and Henry A. Redpath, eds. *A Concordance to the Septuagint*. 3 Vols. Rpt. (in 2 Vols.), Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 1983.

Hook, Cecil. “Our Seven Sacraments.” *Restoration Review* 2, no. 10 (December 1986).

Jones, Cheslyn, Geoffrey Wainwright, Edward Yarnold, eds. *The Study of Liturgy*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 1978.

Knowling, R. J. “The Acts of the Apostles.” *The Expositor’s Greek Testament*, ed. W. R. Nicoll. Vol. II. Rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1983.

Koester, Helmut. *History and Literature of Early Christianity*. Volume Two, Introduction to the New Testament. Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1982.

Lane, William L. *The Gospel according to Mark*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1974.

Lampe, G. W. H. *The Seal of the Spirit*. London, England: Longmans, 1951.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

LaSor, W. S. “Discovering What Jewish Miqva’ot Can Tell Us About Christian Baptism.” *Biblical Archaeology Review* XIII, no. 1 (January/February 1987).

Lewis, Jack P. *The Gospel according to Matthew: Part I*. Austin, TX: Sweet, 1976.

Lewis, Naphtali, and Meyer Reinhold, eds. *Roman Civilization, Sourcebook II: The Empire*. New York, NY: Harper & Row, 1966.

Lightfoot, J. B. *Saint Paul’s Epistles to the Colossians and to Philemon*. 1879; rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1959.

Lightfoot, J. B. *The Epistle of St. Paul to the Galatians*. Rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1957.

Lincoln, Andrew T. *Paradise Now and Not Yet*. Society for New Testament Studies, Monograph Series No. 43, gen. ed. R. M. Wilson. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, 1981.

Longenecker, Richard N. “The Acts of the Apostles.” *Expositor’s Bible Commentary*, gen. ed., F. E. Gaebelin. Vol. 9. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1981.

Lyonnet, Stanislas. “Paul’s Adversaries in Colossae.” *Conflict at Colossae*, eds. F. O. Francis and W. A. Meeks. Rev. ed.; Missoula, MT: Scholars Press, 1975.

Machen, J. Gresham. *The Origin of Paul’s Religion*. 1925; rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1978.

Malherbe, Abraham J. *Social Aspects of Early Christianity*, 2nd ed. Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1983.

Marshall, I. Howard. *The Acts of the Apostles*. Tyndale New Testament Commentaries, gen. ed. R. V. G. Tasker. Vol. 5. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1980.

McNeile, Alan Hugh. *The Gospel according to St. Matthew*. 1915; rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 1980.

Meeks, Wayne A. *The First Urban Christians: The Social World of the Apostle Paul*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1983.

Metzger, Bruce M. *A Textual Commentary on the Greek New Testament*, 3rd ed. Stuttgart, Germany: United Bible Society, 1971.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

Metzger, Will. *Tell the Truth*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity, 1981.

Moule, C. F. D. *An Idiom Book of New Testament Greek*, 2nd ed. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, 1959.

Moulton, W. F., A. S. Geden, and H. K. Moulton, eds. *A Concordance to the Greek Testament*, 5th rev. ed. Edinburgh, Scotland: T. & T. Clark, 1978.

Murphy-O’Connor, Jerome. *St. Paul’s Corinth: Texts and Archaeology*. Vol. 6, Good News Series, ed. R. J. Karris. Wilmington, DE: Michael Glazier, 1983.

Murray, John. *Christian Baptism*. Rpt., Phillipsburg, NJ: Presbyterian & Reformed, 1980.

Nash, Ronald H. *Christianity and the Hellenistic World*. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1984.

Nickelsburg, George W. E., and Michael E. Stone. *Faith and Piety in Early Judaism*. Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1983.

Nygren, Anders. *Commentary on Romans*, 3rd ed. Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1975.

Osborne, Grant. *The Resurrection Narratives: A Redactional Study*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 1984.

Pippert, Rebecca Manley. *Out of the Saltshaker and Into the World*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity, 1979.

Plummer, Alfred. *The Gospel according to St. Mark*. 1914; rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 1982.

Plummer, Alfred. *An Exegetical Commentary on the Gospel according to St. Matthew*. Rpt., Minneapolis, MN: James Family Christian Publishers, n.d.

Purvis, James D. “The Samaritans and Judaism.” *Early Judaism and Its Modern Interpreters*, eds. R. A. Kraft and G. W. E. Nickelsburg. Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1986.

Ramm, Bernard. *Protestant Christian Evidences*. Chicago, IL: Moody, 1953.

Reicke, Bo. *The New Testament Era*, trans. D. E. Green. Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1968.

Reese, Gareth L. *New Testament History: A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Book of Acts*. Joplin, MO: College Press, 1976.

David W Fletcher, “Baptism in the New Testament,” Chapter 10, *Baptism and the Remission of Sins: A History of Baptismal Thought in the American Restoration Movement*, ed. David W Fletcher (1990; rpt., Henderson, TN: Hester Publications, 2009)

All Rights Reserved / Unauthorized Electronic Publishing Prohibited / www.davidwfletcher.com

Rendall, Frederick. “The Epistle To The Galatians.” *The Expositor’s Greek Testament*, ed. W. R. Nicoll. Vol. III. Rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1983.

Richardson, Alan. *An Introduction to the Theology of the New Testament*. London, England: SCM, 1958.

Ridderbos, Herman. *Paul: An Outline of His Theology*, trans. J. R. De Witt. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1975.

Rogers, Richard. *The Holy Spirit of God*. Lubbock, TX: World Mission Publishing, 1968.

Sanders, E. P. *Paul and Palestinian Judaism*. Philadelphia, PA Fortress, 1977.

Schaff, Philip. *History of the Christian Church*. Vol. II, Ante-Nicene Christianity, A.D. 100-325. 1910; rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1979.

Selwyn, E. G. *The First Epistle of Peter*. London, England: Macmillan, 1946.

Smalley, S. S. “Seal.” *The New Bible Dictionary*, ed. J. D. Douglas. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1962.

Springer, Kevin. “Brothers and Sisters in Christ.” *Pastoral Renewal* 8 (February 1984).

Stambaugh, John E., and David L. Balch. *The New Testament in Its Social Environment*. Philadelphia, PA: Westminster, 1986.

Tacitus. *The Annals of Imperial Rome*, trans. Michael Grant. Rev. ed.; New York, NY: Penguin, 1971.

Towns, Elmer L. *The Complete Book of Church Growth*. Wheaton, IL: Tyndale, 1981.

Vorlander, Herwart. “Forgiveness.” *The New International Dictionary of New Testament Theology*, gen. ed. Colin Brown. Vol. 1. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1975.

White, Newport J. D. “The Epistle to Titus.” *The Expositor’s Greek Testament*, ed. W. R. Nicoll. Vol. I. Rpt., Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1983.

Wilken, Robert L. *The Christians as the Romans Saw Them*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1984.